	Transcript of Proceedings - October 11, 2012 Volume 5	741
1	BEFORE THE	ic Servic
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WISCONSIN	
3		Commissi 10/22/12,
4	APPLICATION OF HIGHLAND WIND FARM,) LLC, FOR A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC )	Ε.
5	CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO ) CONSTRUCT A 102.5 MEGAWATT WIND )	of 39
6	ELECTRIC GENERATION FACILITY AND ) Docket No. ASSOCIATED ELECTRIC FACILITIES, TO) 2535-CE-100	Wisconsin:38 AM
7	BE LOCATED IN THE TOWNS OF FOREST ) AND CYLON, ST. CROIX COUNTY, ) WISCONSIN )	ns in M
8	WISCONSIN )	-
9		
10	EXAMINER MICHAEL E. NEWMARK, PRESIDING	
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
12	OCTOBER 11, 2012	
13	VOLUME 5	
14	PUBLIC SESSION	
15		
16	Reported By:	
17	LYNN M. BAYER, RPR, CM	
18	Gramann Reporting, Ltd. (414) 272-7878	
19		
20	HEARING HELD: TRANSCRIPT PAGES:	
21	October 11, 2012 741 - 865, Incl.	
22	Emerald, Wisconsin EXHIBITS:	
23	2:00 p.m. NONE	
24		
25		



1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	HIGHLAND WIND FARM, LLC, Michael Best &
4	Friedrich LLP, by MR. JOHN D. WILSON, P.O. Box 1806,
5	Madison, Wisconsin 53701-1806.
6	
7	FOREST VOICE, INC., Garvey McNeil & Associates,
8	S.C., by ANNE BENSKY, One Odana Court, Madison,
9	Wisconsin 53719.
10	
11	TOWN OF FOREST, Reynolds & Associates, by GLENN
12	REYNOLDS, 407 East Main Street, Madison, Wisconsin
13	53703.
14	
15	COMMISSIONERS: Ellen Nowak (via telephone)
16	Eric Callisto (via telephone) Phil Montgomery (via telephone)
17	
18	OF THE COMMISSION STAFF  JOHN LORENCE, Office of General Counsel
19	James Lepinski Scot Cullen
20	Michael John Jaeger
21	(FOR INDEX SEE BACK OF TRANSCRIPT.)
22	
23	
24	
25	



Welcome to the Highland 1 EXAMINER NEWMARK: 2 Farm Wind case docket, the public hearing. (Discussion off the record.) 3 EXAMINER NEWMARK: Let's get started. 4 I'm going to try to keep the list in order as I've 5 6 gotten them, so hopefully that's -- the people who got here first will speak first. So all right. 7 have Ken Bartz. 8 9 KENNETH BARTZ, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN 10 EXAMINER NEWMARK: Just spell your name 11 for us and go ahead with your comments. 12 DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT 13 BY MR. BARTZ: Kenneth Bartz, B A R T Z. 14 I have a comment about the land values going down if 15 these go in; and, if so, who's responsible for the 16 reimbursement of the land price, of us losing 17 property values? Plus health issues, I do have some health issues myself. And if it's found out later 18 on that this also causes more health issues to me or 19 what's my limitations on it, if I have to sell the 20 21 property, what will -- will I get the value out of 22 it that I need to get out of it to pay off my 23 mortgages and have something to live off of? 24 And also, just the sight of seeing them around all the time. I don't want to see them. 25 And

has there enough studies been done for the health issues, for other things, for 20 years down the road they say, oh, them shouldn't have been put in a residential area because they're in a residential area. I'm just more concerned about that. And, you know, somebody putting them on their own property, so be it. I don't have the right to say what they can do on their own property. But if it's going to affect me personally or my neighbors personally or my dog -- what will happen if my dog can't stand the noise? I'm a hunter. What happens if it chases all the animals away?

I'm paying property taxes on this land. The wind company, from what I understand, isn't paying the taxes on the property. And if it's the -- like, they're -- like, King Plant right now is thinking about going to a temporary peak run plant, and they're down in Bayport. What happens if they say, well, we're going to shut the plant down and move the plant because we don't need it because the wind turbines will take care of this stuff? And we're not paying taxes on that land. So what would be the benefit to take and keep that plant running if they don't need to get the power, if they get enough wind turbines up and stuff like that?

1	So that's what I'm my concerned about.
2	Why should we have low income on the land value and
3	then take and have the property taxes on that be low
4	and they're getting making millions of dollars
5	off that land. If that was a store they would be
6	paying property taxes. If they were a business
7	other than a store, they're paying high property
8	taxes. But these windmills won't have to? That's
9	not right either.
10	So that's my concerns. And I appreciate
11	you having me up here and talk about it, and I
12	appreciate the opportunity. Thank you.
13	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Well, thanks for
14	coming.
15	(Witness excused.)
16	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Let's go off the record
17	for a minute.
18	(Discussion off the record.)
19	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Let's call the next
20	witness. Matthew Radintz. Am I saying that right?
21	MR. RADINTZ: Close enough.
22	EXAMINER NEWMARK: I'm a notoriously bad
23	pronouncer.
24	MATTHEW RADINTZ, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
25	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT

1	BY MR. RADINTZ: I prepared a statement
2	that I would like to read.
3	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Let me just warn, when
4	people read, they read they tend to get fast.
5	MR. RADINTZ: Don't worry, I'll
6	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. Just so you
7	know.
8	MR. RADINTZ: I'll take my time. First of
9	all, I would like to say thank you to the Public
10	Service Commission for allowing my statements today.
11	My name is Matthew Radintz, I'm a resident of Forest
12	Township and have lived here since October of 2009.
13	My wife, Angie, was born and raised on her family
14	small dairy farm which still resides here in Forest.
15	When we were looking for a parcel to build
16	our home and raise our growing family, my wife and I
17	were delighted when we found a beautiful 40-acre
18	piece of land that was up for auction just over a
19	mile from where Angie grew up. We bought our
20	property in the spring of 2009 and built what we
21	consider our dream home.
22	Later that year, there were ramblings
23	throughout the community of a few families possibly
24	hosting a few windmills, not 41 500-foot industrial
25	turbines. But there were no details given to us or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

our neighbors. All we knew is that there was a tower that was erected to gather meteorological data somewhere in the township.

It wasn't until the next summer of 2010 when a neighbor stopped by to introduce himself as we were working in our yard and told me that he was on his way to attend the town board meeting because he wanted to find out if he was getting a wind I decided to attend the meeting myself. turbine. To my recollection, at this meeting, myself and my son were the only attendees that were not either board members, potential hosts or Emerging Energies and its legal representation. Don't you think an item that has drawn so much exposure and contention would attract more than a handful of attendees? This shows you the lack of community outreach by the old town board and Emerging Energies. It was also at that meeting that I learned that these windmills were 500-foot-tall industrial turbines, not utility turbines.

I requested a map of the potential turbine locations from the then town chair. He delivered it to me the following Sunday. I was dumfounded to find out how large of a project this was going to be and how close to my home these turbines were

proposed. Also, that the family that we purchased the land through was set to host two turbines, yet it wasn't disclosed anywhere when I purchased the property.

I spent countless hours doing research on the proposed turbines; and to my dismay, nearly all the projects sited in rural areas had numerous reports of negative health and safety effects, property value reduction, wildlife impacts, et cetera, all related to irresponsible siting of industrial wind turbines.

I was scared, upset and uncertain on what to think. I started talking about my neighbors to find out if they knew of this project; and to my surprise nobody, knew. This resulted in a large group of our community coming together and in questioning what had occurred and if it was justified or legal. And in our opinion it was not.

The community then went on to recall the town board and fight to get our township back.

After the town board was recalled, I was appointed to the Forest Township Plan Commission where I currently serve. As a member of the plan commission, I diligently reviewed numerous documents, minutes and ordinances, including the

town's comprehensive plan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The following are a few points that solidify why an industrial wind turbine project is not compatible with the Forest Township Comprehensive Plan. The proposed project will result in the town not reaching its growth expectations as few people will want to relocate or build in an area that's occupied by an industrial wind project due to the property value uncertainty, health and safety concerns, noise and visual pollution. The project also is not well matched for the comprehensive plan because the turbines are scattered throughout the entire township. comprehensive plan states that any industrial development should be located along the main transportation corridors in the southern portion of the town or in the hamlet of Forest. The main goal of the comprehensive plan is to preserve the town's rural character and visual landscape. This cannot be achieved with 41 500-foot industrial wind turbines scattered throughout the landscape.

Although the comprehensive plan states its openness to alternative energy sources, such as wind energy, I highly doubt the majority of the respondents to the comprehensive plan survey knew of

the scale that accompanies this project; and even if it were the case, the comprehensive plan clearly states that any such project will not detract from the rural character of the town. The industrial wind turbines would also be subject to additional actions such as development of ordinances and should be located in specific locations like I said prior, in the hamlet of Forest in the southern corridor.

In closing, I ask that the Public Service Commission please deny this application of the Highland Wind Farm, LLC, for certificate of public convenience and necessity. This projects does not belong or fit in our rural life-style; and, as you have heard in the technical hearings, this project has a large number of possible negative consequences to the health and public welfare of our community. Thank you.

EXAMINER NEWMARK: Thanks very much.

(Applause.)

(Witness excused.)

EXAMINER NEWMARK: I know we want to show our appreciation for some commentators, but I'm going to ask you to hold your applause because we do have people who are here for the project, believe it or not, and against the project; so I don't want to

1	intimidate anyone. I want to have everyone be able
2	to say their peace on the record. So just please
3	withhold your applause or boos.
4	(Discussion off the record.)
5	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Ma'am, I want to ask
6	you, you did file direct testimony in the case;
7	isn't that right?
8	MS. SALSEG: I did. I filed it regarding
9	the eagle situation.
10	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Yes.
11	MS. SALSEG: Based on the environmental
12	assessment information.
13	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Yes, okay.
14	MS. SALSEG: I am here today speaking as a
15	resident.
16	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. Well, we usually
17	don't let someone who's in the party as a party, a
18	party witness, also comment in the public hearing,
19	part of the hearing. What I can do is I can you
20	have written comments, I can take those.
21	MS. SALSEG: I do and I could we have
22	some members of media here. And since I believe
23	this is my constitutional right to freedom of
24	speech, I can certainly give my statements to them.
25	MS. BENSKY: Can I make a comment? She

did very brief rebuttal testimony about some eagles
that she had seen. This is not going to be
duplicative at all; and I think given the spirit of
wanting to get full public conversation, I ask that
you allow her to read her comment.
EXAMINER NEWMARK: Let me see what we have
in the comments.
Okay. Well, let's have you read
MS. SALSEG: Those were copies.
EXAMINER NEWMARK: It's not repetitive as
far as the eagles are concerned. So let me swear
you in.
(Discussion off the record.)
BRENDA SALSEG, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
EXAMINER NEWMARK: State your name, and go
ahead.
DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
MS. SALSEG: My name is a Brenda Salseg,
and I was born and raised mostly in the Town of
Forest. My husband and I moved back to my hometown
area in 1998 and built a new house on land that had
been in my family since the late 1880s. We moved
back to enjoy a quiet, peaceful life, to raise our
small son with rural views, and to assist my aging
parents who farmed all their lives.

When I first heard about windmills in the Town of Forest, in my mind, I envisioned several of these structures peeking over treetops placed here and there in the township landscape. I expected that a public hearing dedicated to the subject would be forthcoming. Instead, a postcard arrived in the mail just before Labor Day weekend of 2010, composed by a hosting landowner who organized a trip to the Shirley project. It stated the same turbines were coming to Forest.

I almost threw that postcard away several times as junk mail. Instead, over the course of several days, I picked it up and read it again, wondering if we had missed a public hearing. There had been no formal notification of any kind. So I searched Shirley Wind on the internet, and what I found set me into a state of shock. Eight 500-foot-tall industrial scale wind turbines, 2.5 megawatts each. I had no such idea that huge dominating structures of this type existed in the State of Wisconsin.

Once I got over the shock of the size and scope, I started reading about industrial wind turbines and their effects on Wisconsin families.

Stories and articles also surfaced from people in

the eastern U.S., then Canada, Europe and Australia.

I felt ashamed about my former ignorance of industrial wind turbines' impact on people's quality of life. People are suffering.

I contacted a town supervisor and asked how many turbines. He hemmed, hawed, and named some potential landowners. I counted 13. He said the deal was done, but do not worry, I would be paid for living next to a turbine. I knew right then that there is no amount of money that could be paid to compensate for sleepless nights, fear of health issues, and lost property value, our retirement plan. No amount of money can pay for blotting out a starlit night or the pleasure of an open bedroom window as one falls asleep on a warm summer night.

In April of 2011, my husband and I traveled to Glenmore, Wisconsin, to the Shirley project, having heard of the Enz family who have vacated their home and were and are living in an RV because of health issues they began to suffer as soon as that project went online. I wanted to be certain the fight against Highland Wind Farm was justified.

We decided to approach the experience with an open mind. We had heard comments from others who



had stood under an industrial wind turbine and they were able to carry on a conversation, apparently unaffected with physical symptoms. Enough research had convinced me, however, the practice of standing under a wind turbine was a favorite wind industry tactic, intended to convince potential hosting landowners industrial wind turbines do no harm.

Within 45 minutes of visiting the Enz' home, any doubt we had about health effects of inappropriately placed industrial wind turbines was gone. We both began to experience head pressure as if a cold was coming on and ear pressure like one feels when an airplane gains or loses altitude, along with a sense of chest pressure and dizziness. We felt an overwhelming feeling to flee the homes, and so we left. It took a full hour for the physical effects to dissipate.

From the Enz' home, we traveled down through the Blue Sky Green Field project, Cedar Hills and Forward Wind. As night came on, thousands of red blinking lights filled the horizons of beautiful Wisconsin landscape which were visible for at least 20 miles. After spending the night in Fond du Lac, we decided to drive through Blue Sky Green Field in daylight one more time before heading

home. There we experienced shadow flicker as the blades passed through the morning sunlight across the roadway, once per second, flick, flick, flick, flick.

As a state and a country, renewable energy is important. But in a free society, it cannot be based on misguided ideology or mandates that encourage and shelter opportunistic corporate greed. We are people, not a project. And we do not deserve to be treated no better than laboratory animals, subjected to experimentation against our will.

I have spoken to and corresponded with affected individuals around the world, as well as leading professionals, physicians and scientists.

Two solid years of researching, reading the testimonies of wind victims, as well as peer-reviewed scientific evidence, point clearly to the adverse health effects of low frequency, infrasound, and electrical ground currents. We need health studies conducted in wind projects before one more so-called wind farm is constructed.

The people of this township have clearly spoken, through the 2011 recall and general election that removed an entire conflicted town board, that wind energy in this size and scope is not wanted in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

our community. Industrial wind is not free, clean and green when it takes the property rights and freedoms of nonparticipating landowners, takes their tax dollars to fund it, and results in taking more of their income for higher electrical rates, pollutes their quality of life, and displaces wildlife and ecosystems.

Commissioners Montgomery, Nowak and Callisto, issuing a certificate of public convenience and necessity is not in the public interest. Everywhere in rural communities where industrial wind energy systems have been constructed, with setbacks too close and noise limits too high, health issues and property devaluation have followed. The pattern is clear. Throughout the Town of Forest, the State of Wisconsin, the United States of America, Canada, Europe and Australian, we are all praying that the three of you will make the right decision. world is watching and waiting. The only right thing to do is to deny the CPNC (sic). It's time to pull back the curtains.

Sincerely and respectfully submitted, Brenda Salseg.

EXAMINER NEWMARK: Thank you very much.

1 (Witness excused.) 2 (Discussion off the record.) We'll call Teresa 3 EXAMINER NEWMARK: Wilson. 4 TERESA WILSON, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN 5 6 EXAMINER NEWMARK: Give us your name, and go ahead. 7 Teresa Wilson. 8 MS. WILSON: DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT 9 10 BY MS. WILSON: I also wrote mine. 11 try to read slowly for everyone's sake. 12 After being refused in their own home 13 area, Emerging Energies located Forest Township 14 because it was unzoned. They offered a few 15 residents a tiny share of their expected profits in return for the use of their land for a turbine 16 17 project. The town board then signed an agreement with Emerging Energies. When other residents became 18 aware of that project, we were finally allowed to 19 20 express our opinions through a vote, for or against recalling that town board. 21 22 We would have much preferred the 23 opportunity to vote on the subject of wind turbines 24 than on town board members who came to represent 25 turbines/no turbines. That recall election and a

1	following general election each turned out with
2	overwhelming results. The vast majority of Forest
3	residents do not want the turbines here.
4	One of my biggest concerns is the possibly
5	irreparable damage that this issue has caused here
6	between neighbors, families, church members, even
7	brothers. But for Emerging Energies, this is
8	strictly a financial matter. They're interested in
9	the green money, not the green energy. Their
10	Shirley Wind project was sold to a company in
11	Poughkeepsie, New York, before the blades even
12	turned. They took the money and ran.
13	Just because something is legal does not
14	make it right. Forest is not the right place for
15	this project. This is our home. Its people have
16	spoken. Emerging Energies, your Highland Wind Farm
17	is not welcome here.
18	To the Public Service Commission, my vote
19	is no, if I have a say. I'm a small landowner.
20	Thank you.
21	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Thanks very much.
22	(Witness excused.)
23	EXAMINER NEWMARK: We have, is it Allen
24	Wienke, am I getting that right?
25	I just want to let you know we have all

1	three Commissioners on the line. Finally we got
2	that right. They're having a little hard time
3	hearing across the table, so we're going to reorient
4	us and just put your chair over there.
5	ALLEN WARNER, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
6	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Give us your name, and
7	go ahead with your comment.
8	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
9	MR. WARNER: My name is Allen Warner, and
10	I'm 80 years old, and I lived in the Town of Forest
11	for 55 years. And I support the wind towers, for
12	one thing, clean energy. And I was thinking of the
13	future generation coming up. And that's all I got
14	to say.
15	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Can you spell your last
16	name for me because I got it really wrong.
17	MR. WARNER: Last name?
18	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Yeah.
19	MR. WARNER: W A R N E R.
20	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. Thanks. It's
21	Allen, right?
22	MR. WARNER: Right, Allen.
23	COMMISSIONER CALLISTO: Could we have the
24	witnesses identify, if possible, where they're
25	physically located or where they live in relation to

1	the wind turbines.
2	EXAMINER NEWMARK: All right. Well,
3	Mr. Warner, I have you at 1892 County Road D in
4	Glenwood City; is that where you live?
5	MR. WARNER: 2728 County Road S.
6	FROM THE AUDIENCE: That's the wrong
7	Allen.
8	EXAMINER NEWMARK: That's what it is.
9	Start again, give us your name and your address.
10	MR. WARNER: Allen Warner, and I live
11	at you want me to tell the whole thing?
12	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Just your address.
13	MR. WARNER: Oh, I live at 2728 County
14	Road S, Emerald.
15	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Great. Thank you very
16	much.
17	MR. WARNER: Is that it?
18	EXAMINER NEWMARK: That's it.
19	(Witness excused.)
20	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Who was I supposed to
21	call here?
22	ALLEN WIENKE, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
23	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Tell us your name for
24	the record.
25	MR. WIENKE: Allen Wienke, W I E N K E.



1	EXAMINER NEWMARK: And where do you live,
2	sir?
3	MR. WIENKE: 1892 County Road D, Glenmore
4	City.
5	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Is there an approximate
6	location to a turbine or to this
7	MR. WIENKE: Very definitely. They're in
8	the sections adjoining, so on, where we live.
9	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay.
10	MR. WIENKE: We live right in the Village
11	of Forest.
12	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. All right. Go
13	ahead.
14	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
15	BY MR. WIENKE: Allen Warner, I thought
16	you trumped my message because I thought I would be
17	the oldest one up here and now I'm not anymore. So
18	I've been here for this Forest Township has been
19	my residence for 75 years, and we have farmed here
20	and we're retired dairy farmer and now part-time
21	crop and beef farmer. So that's what we have been
22	doing.
23	I was on the commission to plan for this
24	windmill thing a few years back. And I looked at
25	the information and I thought this looks like it has

possibilities. And I thought we should take a real
good look at it and maybe it does have
possibilities. And I think the more that I looked
at it, the more I thought I don't know if this is
if the negatives outweigh the positives. Towers,
noise; and you will hear all of this and have heard
all of these negative things, and I won't belabor
those that much.
But basically my objection is there will
be a handful that will benefit financially at the
expense of others. And what I mean by that is the
financial gain for those participants will be a few
in number, those that have to listen to the other
issues involved in it will be a greater number of
the residents. And that's basically my objection to
the wind program. I'll stop with that.
EXAMINER NEWMARK: Thanks very much.
(Witness excused.)
EXAMINER NEWMARK: Todd Ostberg, O S T-
BERG.
TODD OSTBERG, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
EXAMINER NEWMARK: Well, I have you at
9 2953 210th Avenue, Emerald?
MR. OSTBERG: Correct.
EXAMINER NEWMARK: Any particular tower

location that's close to you or that you're concerned about?

MR. OSTBERG: Probably the nearest one to us would be just over a quarter mile, I'm going to say maybe a third of a mile. But we will have them in every direction on our landscape, so...

#### DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT

BY MR. OSTBERG: Good afternoon, my name is Todd Ostberg. My wife and I moved to Forest nearly seven and half years ago. Unlike many urban communities, most if not all Forest residents live here by choice. Our reasons for buying in Forest included the dark nights, enjoying the stars of the Milky Way, and at night silence, often so quiet you can ear owls, deer moving in the woods behind our home, and sometimes the train crossing Highway 128, some four miles away, the ability to hunt on my own land, and privacy.

Many of our neighbors moved here for the same quality of life just described. Those that have lived here their entire lives understand and appreciate what we only discovered in 2005. I'm not sure any of these would be preserved if this project is allowed.

As a member of the Forest Township Plan

Commission for the past year and a half, I would like to discuss and highlight a handful of items in our comprehensive plan, a plan that was adopted by our former town board on December 8th, 2009. Please keep that date in mind, December 8th, 2009, as I will refer to it later in my remarks.

Within the plan is a survey by Forest residents that became the basis for the comprehensive plan completed at a 58 percent participation level. Many proponents of this industrial wind farm project point to question number 27 as a majority of residents supporting this project. The question reads: The Town of Forest should pursue the following energy alternatives as a form of economic development: biofuels, solar energy, wind energy, other.

While wind energy did get the most positive results with 75 percent agreeing or strongly agreeing, to take that to a 41 500-foot industrial turbine project was clearly not what a majority of the residents were envisioning. To support this claim, we need to look no further than the town's recall election in February 2011 and the general election two months later in April 2011.

Former town supervisor Carl Cress said it

best in an interview with the New Richmond paper.

He stated, "Unfortunately, this election has become
a single-issue election." He was dead on.

The results of both elections removed the former town board by a 60 to 40 percent with in excess of 80 percent voter turnout and reinstated the new board in the April election 60 percent/40 percent with an almost unbelievable voter turnout of 90 percent. Support for an industrial wind farm? I think not.

Let's continue looking at the town comprehensive plan. On page 13 under Section 2.3, key issues, halfway down the page it states that 77 percent of respondents agree or strongly agree that visual impacts of development are an important consideration when evaluating a proposed development. Most assuredly, this project would have visual impact.

On page 23, Section 5.3, objective 2, strategies, states that the town will work on the development of a telecommunication ordinance. This will include collocation, stealth technology, and other techniques to minimize visual impacts. Once again, concern over visual impact.

Page 28, Section 7.2, new businesses or



industry desired. The last sentence, and I quote,
"Overall, other than agriculture, forestry,
recreation, and cottage industry consistent with the
community's rural nature, no new large-scale
businesses or industry is desired in the Town of
Forest, unless it is located in a designated area,
in the hamlet of Forest, or along Highway 64 as
designated in the future land use map."

Page 40, Section 9.6, planned land use map. Halfway through the first paragraph states, "Hence, future development will follow the guidance and performance standards and general location provided in this comprehensive plan." As I have quoted from the comprehensive plan, I believe it's very clear what the vision of the residents is and was. Industrial wind turbines by no means fit that vision.

As I mentioned earlier, December 8, 2009, is the adoption date of the comprehensive plan for the Town of Forest. As there is no mention of an industrial wind farm prior to this date, contracts were signed by potential hosting landowners as well as town negotiations ongoing with Emerging Energies. Is it any wonder a majority of residents felt deceived and betrayed?

As a member of the plan commission, I understand the State of Wisconsin mandate for town zoning to be guided and representative of the comprehensive plan. The commission, by the way, is currently working on that zoning ordinance. I can only assume that omission to be intentional based on the time frame involved. With regard to the August 2010 agreement between Emerging Energies and the Town of Forest, what conclusion can be drawn other than absolute greed overtaking moral obligation by the former town board to serve their community and neighbors.

I would strongly suggest that the PSC right this wrong and have the courage to do what our former town board failed to do. I would also strongly request that the PSC not allow themselves as a public commission to be putty in the hands of these wind developers.

Before Tuesday's hearing in Madison, which I attended, this was going to conclude my testimony. However, after listening to Jay Mundinger of Emerging Energies/Highland wind, LLC, I continue. Mr. Mundinger testified that no former town board members had contracts with Emerging Energy/Highland Wind Farm while they were in office as town

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

officials. I have two problems with that testimony. First, all maps generated by Emerging Energy/Highland Wind Farm depicting turbine siting locations indicated two turbines on the property belonging to Roger Swanepoel. Roger was town chair at the time and was until the recall election of February 2011. Furthermore, Roger recused himself from voting in August 2010 to approve or deny the project based on conflict of interest. conflict of -- without a contract with Emerging Energy/Highland Wind Farm, what conflict of interest did Roger have? Simply a map indicating two turbines on his property? Also very puzzling to me, with Roger slated to get two wind turbines on his property without a contract according to Mr. Mundinger, how did Mr. Mundinger know there was going to be a recall election in February of 2011 where Roger and other town board members would be removed from office, thereby triggering a contract between Roger and Emerging Energy/Highland Wind Farm, making Mr. Mundinger's testimony therefore truthful? Does this confuse anyone other than me? As I don't understand Mr. Mundinger's testimony, had there never been a recall election and had Roger not lost the recall and subsequent



general election, the siting maps are incorrect as Roger Swanepoel as town chair would not qualify for any turbines because of a direct conflict of interest. I would submit that this confusion could be cleared up by Emerging Energy/Highland Wind Farm producing signed contracts of all potential hosting landowners and canceled checks to those potential hosting landowners clearly showing the date of execution. In fact, I would think the Commission should demand it so that Mr. Mundinger's good name could be reinstated. I would think that would be Mr. Mundinger's desire as well.

Also, any testimony by Roger Swanepoel with regard to this could help clear up that same confusion. Along with my written testimony, I am submitting to the docket the Town of Forest comprehensive plan and executive summary.

While my testimony is based on pointing out what the comprehensive plan directs me as a plan commission member to abide by and the testimony of Jay Mundinger, what is paramount to me is the quality of my life, my potential health, my land value and that of my neighbors. Do the right thing, keep Forest as the rural farming community it is today rather than an industrial wind turbine

1	wasteland tomorrow. Thank you for your attention.
2	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Thank you, sir. And
3	you mentioned the comprehensive plan.
4	MR. OSTBERG: I didn't bring it up with
5	me, and I apologize. I'll grab it.
6	EXAMINER NEWMARK: You know, it's not a
7	problem. It is on the record currently and we do
8	have a copy.
9	MR. OSTBERG: I don't know if you have a
10	copy of the executive summary.
11	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Yes, we have the whole
12	plan and the
13	MR. OSTBERG: Oh. Well, now you've got
14	two of them.
15	(Witness excused.)
16	EXAMINER NEWMARK: I'd like to call Carl
17	Cress.
18	CARL CRESS, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
19	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Please give your name
20	for the record.
21	MR. CRESS: My name is Carl Cress.
22	EXAMINER NEWMARK: And you're at 2007
23	280th Street, Emerald?
24	MR. CRESS: Yes.
25	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Any particular turbine

1	that you're concerned about or want to speak to
2	MR. CRESS: No.
3	EXAMINER NEWMARK: or location? Okay.
4	Go ahead.
5	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
6	BY MR. CRESS: My name is Carl Cress.
7	I've been a resident of Town of Forest for 66 years.
8	I was a Town of Forest board member for 24 years,
9	and I also served on the planning commission for
10	several years. As a former board member, I know
11	firsthand the financial needs of running a town.
12	And the wind farm developed in the Town of Forest
13	would give our town and county significant monetary
14	help for the next 30 years.
15	The demand for electricity in this country
16	continues to grow with the development of new
17	technologies. Wind energy will provide us with
18	clean, renewable electricity for years to come.
19	Thank you.
20	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. Thank you, sir.
21	(Witness excused.)
22	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Marilyn Benson.
23	MARILYN BENSON, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
24	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Give us your name for
25	the record.

1	MS. BENSON: Marilyn Benson, 27
2	EXAMINER NEWMARK: You're at oh, go
3	ahead.
4	MS. BENSON: 2754 State Road 64, Emerald,
5	Wisconsin.
6	EXAMINER NEWMARK: All right. I'll ask
7	you the same question. Any particular turbine
8	location you're concerned about?
9	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
10	BY MS. BENSON: Yep. My family have had
11	the farm a half mile east of here when I was six
12	months old and I lived in the area most of my life.
13	We will have, if this goes through, seven or eight
14	turbines surrounding our house with two of the
15	closest two a half mile away.
16	I've known most of these host families all
17	my life, and our kids have gone to school together.
18	It's hard to see our small town being ripped apart
19	over something that's proven to not be green or
20	efficient. It is based on the greed of Emerging
21	Energies and other wind turbine companies. It is
22	true if it was a truly efficient source of green
23	energy, they shouldn't have to take subsidies.
24	My dad's vision of the land across the
25	road, where the woods is, was to have it in the

family trust for the grandchildren and the great grandchildren to hunt on and enjoy. But if there's no animals around, what are you going to enjoy? Some of the host families have stated that this is their retirement fund. What about the non-host families that will possibly lose value in their homes, possibly suffer health issues that will make them leave their homes, or have medical bills they can't afford? What about our retirement?

Having worked for 30 years in the medical field, I'm very concerned about some of the health issues that these turbines have been causing in other wind farms. I realize not everyone is going to react to the noise, shadow flicker or stray voltage. Just like not everybody gets as sick to the flu as somebody else. Everybody's health issues are different and their ability to handle them are different. But even one person suffering the effects from the wind turbine is not acceptable.

How do we allow this and what does the PSC plan to do to help these people affected? How do you assure us that if this goes through that Emerging Energies will be held accountable, or the next party they sell it to next week up after it's up, or I should say next year after it's up, or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If this wind farm project is approved by whenever. the PSC, what steps are you going to put in place to ensure that the non-host families have some sort of recourse to help if we become sick, if our property value goes way down, or if we're unable to sell it? Will they ensure that Emerging Energies, LLC, pays us the difference in our property value or pays the mortgage if we have to sell and are unable to sell it and have to leave or -- our home because of health issues? Will they make Emerging Energies, LLC, have procedures in place with names and phone numbers of who to call with the problems and make sure the company is transparent? Also, when they sell this wind farm, that the ball isn't dropped by the new company?

It sounds like, from the testimony we heard in Madison on Tuesday, that the people in the other wind projects have nowhere or no one to turn to. And once the project was sold, Emerging Energy, LLC, said they don't know anything because they don't own it anymore, so who cares. Who's accountable?

I feel that more research needs to be done before Wisconsin allows any more wind farms.

Research into health issues, wildlife issues, home

values, and the big one, is this really cost 1 2 efficient? Is this a good source of renewable energy? Please do not make any more of us quinea 3 pigs of Emerging Energies, LLC's and other wind 4 companies' greed. 5 6 EXAMINER NEWMARK: Thank you. (Witness excused.) 7 EXAMINER NEWMARK: Dawn Cress. 8 DAWN CRESS, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN 9 10 EXAMINER NEWMARK: That's C R E S S. 11 us where you live. DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT 12 13 BY MS. CRESS: I live on 200 280th Street, 14 Emerald, Wisconsin. I'm directly in the middle of 15 the project. I have two acres, so I won't have a turbine, but I will be able to look out and see 16 17 turbines all around me, and I have no fear of them. And I've written a statement today. 18 As I said, my name is Dawn Cress. 19 been a resident of the Town of Forest, Wisconsin, 20 21 for 60 years. I would like to encourage you to look 22 to the future of clean, renewable energy that can be 23 produced right here in Wisconsin through our wind 24 resources. The proposed Highland Wind Farm here in

the Town of Forest, which was developed openly and

25

1	conscientiously by Emerging Energies, the former
2	town board, and the residents that took the time to
3	attend public meetings, gives our community and
4	county added revenue and job stimulus.
5	Wisconsin has no oil, coal or gas
6	deposits. But we do have adequate wind. Let's
7	harness that wind and be a part of the solution in
8	this country for more clean, renewable electricity.
9	Remember, Wisconsin motto is forward. Developing
10	wind energy will help move this state forward.
11	Thank you for your consideration.
12	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Thank you, ma'am.
13	(Witness excused.)
14	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. You have to help
15	me out with this one, Yvonne Fouks or let me just
16	spell it, F O U K S.
17	MS. FOUKS: Fouks.
18	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Sorry.
19	MS. FOUKS: That's okay. It's been messed
20	up before.
21	YVONNE FOUKS, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
22	EXAMINER NEWMARK: All right. And I have
23	you at 2738 210th Street, Deer Park?
24	MS. FOUKS: Avenue.
25	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Avenue. Okay. Sorry.

1	And how does that relate to the project?
2	MS. FOUKS: We are a host family.
3	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. All right. Go
4	ahead.
5	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
6	BY MS. FOUKS: My name is Yvonne Fouks. I
7	have lived in Forest Township for 62 years. Our
8	farm is now in its third generation in the Fouks
9	name. We have always tried to be good stewards of
10	the land, and developing wind energy on our farm is
11	one way of doing that. We need to free ourselves as
12	much as is possible from being dependent on foreign
13	oil and to free our country from being dependent on
14	a part of the world that is trying to destroy us and
15	our way of life.
16	Wind energy will give us clean, renewable
17	energy without the air pollution of coal and dangers
18	of nuclear energy. It will also benefit our town
19	and our county financially for the next 30 years.
20	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Thank you, ma'am.
21	MS. FOUKS: You're welcome.
22	(Witness excused.)
23	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Mark Jackelen.
24	MARK JACKELEN, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
25	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Could you spell your

4	
1	name for the record for me.
2	MR. JACKELEN: JACKELEN.
3	EXAMINER NEWMARK: And you say you're at
4	Section 36 in the township?
5	MR. JACKELEN: Well, that's where the
6	majority of our land is.
7	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay.
8	MR. JACKELEN: I don't live in Forest
9	anymore. I grew up here, but I moved away about a
10	hundred years ago, but our family still owns the
11	farm. My brothers have land all the way around
12	Glenwood and Forest.
13	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Any particular turbine
14	location you have a concern about?
15	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
16	BY MR. JACKELEN: Yeah, actually, there is
17	one on Section 36. If that thing happens to tip
18	over, it's going to land right on our fence line,
19	it's that close. But anyway, I forget even what I
20	put down on there, but I'm going shoot from the hip
21	here and I hope I don't repeat anything that people
22	have talked about already.
23	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Do you want to see
24	this?
25	MR. JACKELEN: Okay. I got it figured

out. I'll give you a quick summary of my history with this thing. It was, like, in the fall of 2008, my dad was approached to see if he had any interest in wind turbines. So it was pretty exciting at the time; you know, nobody knew anything about wind turbines, we were all rookies at the stuff, you know. Knew what they were, but -- like Buffalo Ridge, Xcel's got a big project down in southwestern Minnesota. Knew about that one. So anyway, I said, well, that's interesting.

So anyway, they had the informational day the day they dropped off a copy of the wind lease.

My dad wanted me to come down. And my brothers, we're all sitting in the kitchen, you know. And Jay -- my father is gone now. But Jay Mundinger, he explained the whole thing and tried to answer the questions I guess. But about two-thirds of the questions I asked, he said he couldn't answer.

So I asked the standard-issue questions, like, was like it union labor that they were going to put them up with, you know, and where are they going to put all the dirt they take out of these holes they're digging and what's going to the happen to the county and the township roads? And -- can't answer that, can't answer that. But it was spelled

out that the rating -- the nameplate on the turbine was 2.5 meg. What's two and a half megs? Well, I knew it was a million dollars' worth of power.

So the biggest issue I had at the time was you're giving the farmer 7,500 bucks, it's a million dollars' worth of power. But at the end of the day, we found out later that I guess they really don't produce what they're designed to produce. But that's not the issue.

So anyway, right after that, I went to work at the Xcel Energy plant, at the King plant over in Bayport, Minnesota, that I work out of Local 548 millwright union. And so I talked to some of the engineers over there. And they said, forget it; if you want a windmill, talk to General Electric, they make tons of them. They aren't worth anything. But that was before -- obviously before Xcel got into the political side of it and the subsidies, obviously.

But the problem I really have with this thing -- and I don't want to get too long-winded here, but it just seems like -- well, I found this out through the testimony down in the Madison the other day, I was watching it on the live stream.

And it seemed like it was a slick group, with the

elected officials in Forest included, that were working these deals all the way back to 2007. And I'm trying to make logic out of all this, like, okay, they follow the high ridges, they try to connect them in a line so they don't have to run too much cable out for the connecting cable and the access roads and la-de-da. But there were people that didn't make sense, they never contacted them. You know, some people got asked, some people didn't; you're either in the circle or you're not.

And anyway, so I want to say we had about a month to look this information over. And, God, I thought, you know what, it's an LLC, never heard of these guys before, it's not Xcel Energy or St. Croix Electric or some viable company. So I said, boy, I don't know. Goll-dang-it, so I told dad, I said what do you think? I said, well, I said, it's your farm, I said, it's not mine, but I said if it was me, I'd waive it, you know. But he said, well, maybe we should put one up. He said, you can farm right up to the base, you only lose a 50-foot circle. I said, Dad, these things are huge, it's like they're setting out a locomotive 400 feet in the air. You're not going to do this by magic. They're going to bring cranes in, you got dump

trucks going in and out, concrete trucks. I said which 40 do you want to throw away?

So that was the issue I had. A good farmer would not do that. A good farmer is a steward of his farm. You would never allow this in there. And then as things unfolded later on, we found out how big these things were, and that came out in 2010. I didn't get it from Jay that day.

So anyway, after that -- but the month went by, it was signup day; and they said, well, we gotta make our decisions, now or never. I said, well, it's your business. Eh, we're going to let her go. So my dad was probably one of the rare birds in Forest that actually turned down a lease agreement, and they promised him seven turbines -- up to seven turbines, because we do have some high country over here.

So anyway, I said, Dad, I'll tell you what; if it's that good a deal, you'll have your second chance. I just can't imagine signing the rights of that farm over. It doesn't make any sense. There is nothing in it for the farmer. So anyway, God, wasn't much going on after that. We kind of forgot about the whole deal. I thought that will never fly anyway. You know, I mean, obviously

the town board has got to be on deck on this thing. There's going to have to be informational meetings coming up long before they ever make any deals with the town board.

Well, I guess I was wrong. So along comes about 2009, I believe it was, the fall and spring, the former town chairman was delivering gravel to Joe's farm over here. And Joe says, hey, Roger, he says, what's going on with that wind project? I gotta give you a little bit, you know, and hey, Roger, you didn't sign any leases, did you? I'm not going there. Oh, really? Well, I'll be darned. So a few more months go by, and then one of the last things my dad said before he passed away in February of 2010 is I wonder what -- I don't see any windmills going up. Interesting. Yeah.

So later on that summer, 2010, about
August, here one of our neighbors down the road,
he's got a repair shop, Freddie Nitchey, calls up
Joe, he says, have you seen the wind map? Joe says
no. Joe hops in his pickup, heads on down to
Freddie's place. Holy -- 39 wind turbines, 500
footers. It's like the Apaches shot arrows at the
Town of Forest, they're all over the place. No
rhyme or reason to it.

Yeah. I mean, like I said, I'm trying to stay on a neutral basis on this thing. It's like this is definitely a buddy rig here. You're either in the click or you're not. Once we passed on those wind lease agreements, we were out of the circle, never heard any more after that. So to sum this whole thing up, here's my experience. Okay? Back in the '70s, my dad had an opportunity to sell a couple acres we had --

(Interruption by the reporter.)

MR. JACKELEN: I'm sorry. Yeah, I talk a little fast. Anyway, back in the '70s, my dad had a chance to sell a couple acres to the Midland Cooperative which had an oil business at that time, and the land was right on 128 State Highway, and pretty lucrative at the time. I forget the exact price; but so my dad went out and he talked to Dave Rasmussen, he talked to Bruce Harris, a couple of the neighbors. They said, God, Clarence, we don't want that, geez, you know, to have a tank farm out there next to our place.

So a couple weeks later, they called up and said what do you think? He says, well, I gotta let her go. They said why? Neighbors don't want it. Really? Yeah. That was the end of that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Big farmer up by -- and actually his main farm is over in St. Joe Township. This is pretty recent, as of like last summer. Josh Atler, good operator, good farmer, big dairy. Anyway, he was getting the idea maybe he was kind of putting a plan together, he was going to put a three, 4,000 cow dairy system over in Erin Township. But instead of -- he actually -- they practically went door to door; and if the people weren't home, they put door knockers on their door handle to make sure everybody in that township knew what they were planning on doing. And they got excellent informational meetings. I talked to guys that lived around it. They got the feel of the public, the public didn't want it, Josh said I'll let her go. He respected the neighbors.

Now we got this thing, we got elected officials, we got guys cutting deals under the fricking wire. And it's like nobody can believe this is even happening. So what do they do with it then? They raise the ante. Well, if we kick it up two turbines, then we can kick it back to the Public Service Commission. Now our local government has no power.

So it's like living a nightmare to me.



1	And thanks for listening to my long-winded speech.
2	Appreciate it.
3	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Thanks very much.
4	MR. JACKELEN: All right.
5	(Witness excused.)
6	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Doug Hill.
7	DOUG HILL, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
8	EXAMINER NEWMARK: That's H E L L.
9	MR. HILL: H I L L. Thank you.
10	EXAMINER NEWMARK: You come up here and do
11	this.
12	MR. HILL: That's okay. It's happened
13	before.
14	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. You're at 117
15	Tiffany Creek Road in Glenwood.
16	MR. HILL: Yes, sir.
17	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Any particular turbines
18	you are interested in or concerned about?
19	MR. HILL: I'm a host.
20	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay.
21	MR. HILL: Okay? And it's on the property
22	at 3151 State Road 64, which is the farm site, and
23	my son and his children are living there now, so
24	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. Gotcha.
25	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT

BY MR. HILL: My name is Doug Hill. I've lived and farmed in the Town of Forest on the same farm as my parents and grandparents for the better part of my nearly 66 years. And I believe, as a citizen of a consuming society, we need to begin taking responsibility for what happens in the world around us. U.S. consumption of electricity has nearly doubled every 20 years since 1960. 37 percent of U.S. consumption is for residential use. And our use increases by 50 percent during the air conditioning season. With that kind of increasing demand, we need to increase production or we will see more and more rolling brownouts and blackouts during high-use periods.

Most of our electric energy production takes place in plants burning fossil fuels, which are reasonably inexpensive and fairly efficient, but emit massive amounts of greenhouse gases. I'm not sure if greenhouse gases are causing global warming or not, if it is -- or if it is just a natural cycle. But I do know that reducing greenhouse gases will not harm us or our environment. This process of producing electricity is also the leading cause of industrial pollution in the United States. Not a pretty picture in my backyard.

Nuclear energy production is far more efficient. Nuclear fuel contains millions of the types of free energy found in carbon fuels.

However, nuclear accidents at Three Mile Island in 1979, Chernobyl in 1986, and Fukushima in 2011, have raised serious questions about safety and health issues. Also, the problems associated with long-term storage and disposal of spent fuel rods is still unresolved. Another not-so-pretty picture in my backyard.

That brings us to the use of renewable energy sources. And we are here today to discuss wind energy, specifically Highland Wind Farm. With wind power, there are no pollutants discharged into the atmosphere or any greenhouse gases emitted. An efficient wind farm can produce electricity efficiently. Highland Wind Farm will bring an economic boost to our community and several good jobs to the area for many years to come.

To me, this whole concept appears to be a more appealing backyard than those other options.

For all of this and more, I strongly support the approval of the Highland Wind Farm. Thank you.

EXAMINER NEWMARK: Thank you, sir. And I apologize.

1	MR. HILL: That's okay.
2	(Witness excused.)
3	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Mr. Johnston. It's a
4	little easier.
5	MR. JOHNSTON: First name Tom?
6	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Yes.
7	THOMAS JOHNSTON, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
8	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Give us your name for
9	the record, please.
10	MR. JOHNSTON: Yep. It's Thomas Johnston,
11	JOHNSTON. And I live at 2934 210 Avenue in
12	Forest Township.
13	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. And any turbine
14	location you're concerned about or interested in?
15	MR. JOHNSTON: Yeah, mostly 5 and 6A,
16	they're labeled on the map. And 5 is about 3,000
17	feet away from us and 6A is about 1,700, maybe
18	1,650
19	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay.
20	MR. JOHNSTON: from our home. Not our
21	property line, but our home.
22	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
23	BY MR. JOHNSTON: One of the things that I
24	noticed when I was going through, even prior to the
25	testimony in Madison, was that I was going through

the expert testimony. And the expert testimony that I'm referring to is Hessler, Punch, Slaymaker and Schomer. And when I was going through there, there is a lot of conflicting information. And they have different theories that they pose, they have different assumptions that they put in. They have all kinds of different things.

Here's the point that I'm trying to make. When expert testimony appears to be conflicting, what happens many times is that there's -- it's analogous to, like, Five Blind Men, the story that we've heard here before about five blind men looking around an elephant trying to describe to people who had never heard of an elephant. And it appears to be conflicting, with very hard to understand what they're saying.

And I think the experts are analogous to that. They're giving us conflicting information.

But I think the reason that it's conflicting or it appears to be conflicting is because there is a larger truth. And the point that I'm trying to drive to is that I would like the Public Service Commission to not subject people of Forest Township to what is essentially a 30-year experiment when the conflicting expert testimony is certainly indicating

1	that there is a larger truth that still remains to
2	be discovered. And it relates to an audible noise,
3	inaudible noise, health effects and regarding the
4	industrial wind turbines.
5	So I would ask the Public Service
6	Commission to not allow the wind farm to proceed for
7	those reasons. Thank you.
8	EXAMINER NEWMARK: All right. Thanks very
9	much.
10	(Witness excused.)
11	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Now Anne Johnston.
12	MR. JOHNSTON: The better half.
13	ANNE JOHNSTON, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
14	EXAMINER NEWMARK: All right. Can you
15	give us your name for the record.
16	MS. JOHNSTON: Anne Johnston, Anne with an
17	E. And my address is 2934 210th Avenue. And there
18	are two turbines on the property behind us. The one
19	is 5 and the other one is an alternate that we are
20	concerned about. But there are also turbines around
21	us in the other direction too. So they are kind of
22	all around us.
23	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay.
24	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
25	BY MS. JOHNSTON: My husband and I moved

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

here 11 years ago this month to enjoy the peace and quiet of living in a small town. The home we built is an investment in our retirement, and we have discussed over the past years of giving land to our kids for them to build on. We bought 80 acres, and that's something that we'd like to be able to do.

In my opinion, if 41 500-foot industrial scale wind turbines are allowed to be built, we will be adversely impacted. First, we will lose the peace and quiet we cherish. And that was one of the main reasons we came out here. Second, I feel our home will lose significant value or we won't be able to sell it at all. Residents in Glenmore, Wisconsin, the Shirley project, have had to move from their homes due to health effects caused by eight turbines being built there in their industrial wind project. There are 41 of these turbines planned here in Forest. That's a huge, huge difference.

And third, we will lose the use of our land for our boys to build homes here if that is what they wish to do. Nobody in their right mind would want to build a home an unsafe distance from an industrial scale wind turbine. Our land is being used as a buffer zone by our neighbor who is hosting

1	these turbines. And I don't believe that any of the
2	Commissioners would allow a 500-foot industrial
3	scale wind turbine 1,250 feet from their homes
4	knowing that there would be health and safety issues
5	for their families.
6	In my opinion, if this project is
7	improved, our health, quality of life, and right to
8	use our own property will be extremely compromised,
9	and our retirement will be adversely affected. So
10	I'm asking the Commission to not approve this
11	project. And I thank you for your time today.
12	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Thank you, ma'am.
13	(Witness excused.)
14	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Dennis Eggert. Well,
15	apparently there is a problem with the phone line
16	being affected by phones in the room; so if you just
17	want to make sure your phones are on mute, I guess
18	that has something to do with it. I'm not an expert
19	on that, but
20	MR. EGGERT: Eggert.
21	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Eggert. E G G E R T.
22	MR. EGGERT: Correct.
23	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. And let me swear
24	you in.
25	DENNIS EGGERT, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN

EXAMINER NEWMARK: And give your address or any property location you're concerned about.

MR. EGGERT: 2217 270th Street, which is straight north of town. I have two turbines just a little over half a mile from my residence; and all the power from all 41 will go past my residence to the substation.

#### DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT

BY MR. EGGERT: Hello. My name is Dennis
Eggert, I'm 64 years old, and I've lived, worked and
farmed in the Town of Forest my entire life. I also
served on the town board in the 1980s. The dislike
of this wind turbine project is obvious because of
the results of the recall and general election.
What a shame the promise of some money has done to
the people of this community. Family, friends and
neighbors are divided because of this project, and
we have not yet turned a shovelful of dirt.

Constructing these turbines will disrupt people, animals, wild and domestic, and birds. The harmful effects are to be minor, but they are only minor if they're in somebody else's backyard. I don't want any of my family, friends or neighbors to get sick, disrupted or displaced because of this project. This Township of Forest will survive just

fine without the problems and promise of money for 1 2 this project. Please stop this project and let our township begin to heal. 3 Thank you. EXAMINER NEWMARK: Thank you, sir. 4 5 (Witness excused.) EXAMINER NEWMARK: Larry McNamara. 6 LARRY McNAMARA, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN 7 EXAMINER NEWMARK: Give us your name. 8 9 MR. McNAMARA: Larry McNamara. 10 EXAMINER NEWMARK: And any address that 11 you're concerned about or property in the project 12 area? 13 DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT 14 MR. McNAMARA: My concerns are as -- I'm 15 not going to go in -- everything has been 16 investigated, done, through all the channels, as far 17 as the health and all that. But I guess I 18 originally was in favor -- my only dislike of the project originally was to not -- the sight of them, 19 just the visibility of looking at them. 20 21 didn't interfere with what the neighbors were doing 22 and then later when the board was switched and they 23 needed -- I did add one when they had to add two to 24 go to state levels. And with this, the big thing I

found out is I realized the dependence on having

25

county, state and federal control to take this out of local hands due to the conflict of the neighbors and stuff.

Now, if it was 13 families here, if it was my son going to war, would these 200 rebellers stand up and fight like this not to have that happen? But yet they're envious of the fact that somebody may make a dollar on renting some land, which right now with the corn prices it might not be such a good deal anyway.

And I guess I'm just -- somebody has to make a sacrifice. I've been here for 66 years, my grandfather was just in Cylon outside of Forest Township, and we're into the fourth generation that's born here in Forest. And if there was no progress, we would still not have our blacktopped roads, telephones, internet. I'm sure you used the freeway coming up. And if you left that up to the people that lived where that freeway was going to go, they would -- yes, somebody's got to make a sacrifice. Just, like I said, like we do with our soldiers, there's great sacrifices being made.

That's where I'm at. I originally signed the thing quite late just due to the fact that if they had to cross my land. And now I basically --

1	like I said, due to the state level deal, I did add
2	one or I'm going to put one up. And I have now
3	realized, too, if you watch just watching TV or
4	looking at any magazine, the windmill signifies
5	country; and it also it will be saving me, yes.
6	The alternative is to sell parcels of land to the
7	investors and stuff that want to build homes and
8	stuff. But this way I can keep the farm together.
9	And it's not only like alternative energy, it's
10	alternative income for me.
11	And I guess that's where I stand on the
12	deal. So I'm in favor of it as sacrificing my land
13	or if we can put a man on the moon, I feel we can
14	correct any problems that may develop. And I have
15	made contact with a lot of the people that are
16	living in these projects and there's not thus far
17	all this stuff isn't really a said-and-done problem.
18	There's nothing to that controls it.
19	So thank you, and I appreciate your
20	authority and your concern in listening to us.
21	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Well, thanks very much.
22	(Witness excused.)
23	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Douglas is it Karan?
24	MR. KARAU: Karau.
25	DOUGLAS KARAU, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN

1	EXAMINER NEWMARK: State your name for the
2	record.
3	MR. KARAU: Douglas Karau.
4	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Can you spell your last
5	name.
6	MR. KARAU: K A R A U.
7	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. Great. And tell
8	us where you live or where you have property of
9	concern or the location on the property.
10	MR. KARAU: I live west of the Town of
11	Forest here on 69. My address is 2643 State Road
12	64, Emerald, Wisconsin.
13	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
14	BY MR. KARAU: My wife and I, we purchased
15	our farm in 1980; so I may not be the longest
16	resident here, but I have lived in Forest for some
17	time now. My wife has been town treasurer for 24
18	years. I've been a former town board member for
19	I don't even know if it was six or eight years, but
20	it was less substantial. So we've been involved
21	with town politics for a while. Most of it's always
22	been rural issues. We're a very rural town here.
23	So it's never a lot of action usually at our town
24	board meeting.
25	We did get involved in a the wind

turbine issue here back early 2008, 2007, in that area. We were approached by a company, Emerging Energy, to give a presentation to the town board. They were not on the agenda at that time; so we respectfully asked if they'd wait a month, we'd put them on the agenda and then they can come and give a presentation at that time.

The next month came, and we didn't know if they'd show up for nothing or if they were just flying through or something; but they did show up, they gave a presentation. And when they were there the month before, we had a couple of people in the audience ask them questions. So they went home and came back with a presentation on what they're looking to do. They wanted to put a turbine up, they done some -- some inverse studies said there was some potential for wind energy in the area. And they gave a presentation. They didn't know exactly what models or where anything would be placed. This was all just starting a study.

They -- people asked questions, size of turbines, what do these turbines look like, how many are we talking? You know, at that stage nobody knew yet. So we went on from there. If you don't mind, I'm going to put my glasses on. I need these

cheaters.

I've raised a family on our farm. I farmed it. And as of right now, I work for a nursing home company as a maintenance and life safety specialist in the Twin Cities area. My wife is a teacher in the Head Start Program. So we've been very involved with the local area here.

My testimony is not going to be about the project. A lot's been said about the project and what is good or what is bad. There's been a lot of misinformation, there's been a lot of saying what every -- I was told once when I was a town board member that -- by an opponent of the thing that no matter what you say, I'm going to say or do whatever is necessary not to have it happen to our thing.

So I've had all kinds of experiences happen to me. I've been involved in many lawsuits over the last four years, and I'm not even going to go there. This isn't the venue to address that in.

What I'm going to talk about is some reality, what these -- you know, we never denied anybody any right to speak at any town board meeting, give any presentation they wanted to, address their issues. But, then again, we did not deny anybody wanting to ask questions positive on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the windmill, to talk to the -- you know, there was none of the town board meetings if Emerging Energy talked to them or not.

This means a lot to the individual These individual farmers in this rural farmers. community, just like any other county -- I have a degree in diversified agricultural from the University of Minnesotan and an economics degree from River Falls, so I pretty well understand agriculture. And these farmers do not take their duties as farmers lightly. They research a project. They may not sit around a big conference table and make big decisions as a corporation does. do sit around their family table and they discuss an issue between their family members, maybe their significant other, maybe their wife, their children, maybe aunts and uncles. Some it may be for, some it may be against. But that's their individual choice. That's nothing -- as a town board member I didn't feel it was my job to enter that. I just wanted to make sure that everybody that had a question could answer -- ask their question and look for an answer if they could.

These farmers have the right to diversify their agricultural production. As we've seen



through this last summer with the drought and heat, no -- every farmer knows not to put his eggs in one basket. They're always looking for some way to diversify their production potential on the farm; and that being this wind energy is a source, just like their corn takes the sun power, transmits it into grain. What wind is is just the difference between hot and cold, solar energy creating a wind and these wind turbines are harvesting that wind. So it's an agricultural production unit that fits very well in this area of agriculture.

They require the setback rulings, we were very well aware of them, the setback rulings ask that there be land buffers around these turbines. So we realized that this would have to be in a rural agricultural setting if this was to happen. It wasn't our choice to have it happen or not. That's the people's choice. But we were just -- you know, it could happen in this area because we were an agricultural area.

These farmers are -- you hear a lot about industrial wind turbines. These farmers are in the industry of agriculture. They realize that they can't farm with the B John Deere no more. If they're going to farm nowadays, they gotta have

industrial-size equipment, they gotta have industrial-size planters, tractors, to get the crop in. Yes, they hear lots of complaints from people driving cars up and down the road that there's slow machinery, it's noisy machinery, it's big machinery. And these farmers don't like that, but they've got to get their job done and they know they're going to have this grief put upon them.

These farmers, they can't milk three cows no more and make a living for their family. They know they gotta use economies of scale to use industrial-size milking parlors, barns, and enterprises to make -- to produce the milk. They realize that they don't do this all on their own.

They're all part of an industry that's put together. There is a milk truck driver that goes up and down the road and picks up the milk, takes it to a plant, that plant processes it into cheese, and then that gets put onto a warehouse, gets to a grocery store and everybody benefits by that. And that's all done through the industry of agriculture.

So these wind turbines being addressed as industrial, it's -- agriculture is industrial. It's just part of an industry of agriculture using a means of a production unit, the wind turbine, to

diversify his agricultural production, make a little more income on it. Maybe his crops failed that year, but he does have a little to fall behind on.

Everybody doesn't have to have -- or maybe they don't want it, they don't have to have it.

Nobody's saying who and who cannot. That's their own individual decision. They sat down with their family members, they evaluated it, see if it fits into their program; and if it did, they went along with it. I was no part of any part of that. But -- and if they didn't want it, nobody was forced to say you've got to do it, this project will fall through if you don't do it. Everybody was respected for their own decision and was given their right to move forward or back depending upon that.

These farmers realize that no matter where -- what they do, being in agricultural with animals, they're going to be complained about the smell, the dirt, the noise; or if it's with farm machinery moving back and forth to fields planting their crops or harvesting their crops, the consumer is going to be complaining to them about the noise and the dust, lights at night, or being it spreading their resources of the animal production, the smell of the manure or something. They're all getting

complaints.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

These farmers are used to having consumers They do the best they can. complain to them. they realize that they cannot answer every complaint by not doing it, because by not doing it, there will be no agricultural as we know -- there will be no agriculture, period. So they go about doing their agriculture production, they diversify their production how they see fit or not fit, and that's a decision made by people that handle moneys; just like a big corporation where they got lots of resources going into their crops, they got lots of resources going into their animal production, and they're making decisions, but they're making their decision based on the stewardship of how they run their farm and how they want that farm.

Many of these farmers have been around for generations and generations and generations. I myself, I haven't lived here all that long, but my family has farmers that have been around. I came from southern Minnesota. I've seen wind turbines for 30 years. I have never heard of an ill effect of anybody that I know about it. I done my research. I talked to many people in southern Minnesota that have turbines. I talked to them down

Highway 12. They have them right outside Hector. I went to school with many people from Hector; ran into these people from Buffalo Lake, they have them right outside the town, they have them right outside of Carlton College, right outside University of Minnesota campus where I went to school at. And I done a lot of research. And there is a lot of hype, there's a lot of scare tactics, there's a lot of the dirty political games that you would have never thought could happen in Forest Township, and there is a lot of that going around.

But this is a fine opportunity for the people that want to take advantage, and there is consideration for the people that -- I realize everybody's not going to want to look at them, everybody's not going to want to -- they're going to be scared somehow, there's a lot of scare tactics that have been thrown out there. Most of them are not founded as I can ever see any fact to. And if there is, there is mitigation in the setback rules and the windmill siting rules to address almost -- I'm sure there is a potential problem that I can't imagine, but any one that I can is there.

I'd like to thank this opportunity to get my two cents into this.

1	EXAMINER NEWMARK: All right. Thanks very
2	much.
3	(Witness excused.)
4	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Rita Buhr.
5	MS. BUHR: That's right, Buhr.
6	RITA BUHR, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
7	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Can you give us your
8	name.
9	MS. BUHR: Rita Buhr, B U H R.
10	EXAMINER NEWMARK: And you live at 2081
11	County Road P?
12	MS. BUHR: Yes.
13	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Any particular wind
14	turbine site or location?
15	MS. BUHR: We are a host family.
16	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay.
17	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
18	BY MS. BUHR: Members of the PSC, my
19	grandfather settled in the Township of Forest over
20	90 years ago. The land was cleared, trees were cut
21	down, and logs were sent off on the train. The
22	landscape changed. But the need for cropland was
23	evident for farmers. My father purchased the farm
24	that my husband and I reside on over 65 years ago.
25	I have lived here all my life.

The Township of Forest is a farming community where we are stewards of the land.

Farmers raise corn, soybeans and other various crops. The countryside is dotted with dairy cattle which provide us with endless dairy products and beef cattle for our meat consumption. Excess commodities are sold to the market to be processed into consumable products that each of us purchase at our local grocery. How can a wind farm be any different?

The Highland Wind Farm is a project of harvesting the wind, a product that will provide each and every one of us with clean and renewable energy, meeting our ever-increasing needs. Yes, the landscape will change, just as it did 90 years ago. The Highland Wind project is of utmost importance for our future generations. On return to our home one evening, the wind was blowing. My husband mentioned how windy it was. And our grandson, age 10, commented saying, well, that's a good thing, isn't it? Yes, it is a good thing. I ask that you support the Highland Wind Farm project.

EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. Thank you.

(Witness excused.)

EXAMINER NEWMARK: Carol Johnson.



1	CAROL JOHNSON, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
2	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Give us your name.
3	MS. JOHNSON: Carol Johnson, J O H N S O N.
4	EXAMINER NEWMARK: And you're at 2261
5	270th Street?
6	MS. JOHNSON: Correct.
7	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Deer Park.
8	MS. JOHNSON: Yes.
9	EXAMINER NEWMARK: And any particular
10	location you're concerned about or want to
11	MS. JOHNSON: No.
12	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay.
13	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
14	BY MS. JOHNSON: My name is Carol Johnson.
15	I am a resident of Forest Township and have been
16	since 2009. I do not own land within the boundaries
17	of the Highland Wind Farm and to the best of my
18	knowledge will not personally benefit financially
19	from the wind farm.
20	In the interest of full disclosure, I am a
21	retired I am retired from a career that included
22	several years working in the commercial wind
23	industry. I've never worked for Emerging Energies,
24	the developer of the Highland Wind Farm, but have
25	worked on several wind farm developments for a

variety of commercial wind developers. I have absolutely no concerns with living near wind turbines; and as someone who is knowledgeable in the technology, my testimony today is in support of the Highland Wind Farm.

Before we moved to Forest Township, we would visit often, mostly on weekends, and were excited to hear of the proposed wind farm. Those that we talked to in the community seemed genuinely excited about the project. It was the talk of the town.

As someone who has seen the direct benefits of wind farm developments in small farming communities, I knew this little Town of Forest would experience an economic development that would ensure the future of its agricultural nature. I knew the small businesses in the area, restaurants, gas stations, hotels and grocery stores, would enjoy the growth of their small businesses as a result of the wind farm development. I also knew that there were -- there would be much needed job opportunities for some of the residents in the community. All very positive impacts to a small farming community and a part of Wisconsin that is lucky enough to have a wind resource strong enough for a wind farm

development.

I was extremely disappointed when the anti-wind propaganda began to surface. I knew from my experience in developing wind farms that the information existed; but in the areas where I worked, the residents welcomed the wind development as they saw the value of developing their valuable natural resource, wind, that was prominent in their area. I also knew that the propaganda being circulated had many times been proven to be based on falsehoods and was simply a scare tactic to attempt to gain support against the wind industry.

Those who are familiar with wind farms know they are not only economically beneficial to the farming community, but safe to live within and near. The shame of what has happened in our community is that people who know better but oppose the project have been able to use misinformation to scare those who do not know better in order to support their anti-wind agenda.

I urge the Public Service Commission to approve the Highland Wind Farm for development as soon as possible. The Town of Forest, State of Wisconsin, and the United States of America cannot afford to delay any longer the development of much



1	needed renewable energy projects such as this
2	Highland Wind Farm. Thank you very much for your
3	time.
4	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay.
5	(Witness excused.)
6	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Richard Lambert.
7	RICHARD LAMBERT, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
8	EXAMINER NEWMARK: And give us your name,
9	please.
10	MR. LAMBERT: Richard Lambert.
11	EXAMINER NEWMARK: L A M B E R T?
12	MR. LAMBERT: Right. 2336 280th Street.
13	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. Clear Lake?
14	MR. LAMBERT: Yeah.
15	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Like I asked everyone,
16	do you have a particular location or turbine site
17	you're concerned about?
18	MR. LAMBERT: I'm up in the northern
19	portion. Probably the closest one is maybe
20	three-quarters of a mile or so of my
21	brother-in-law is going to have I think three right
22	around his place. He's down on P.
23	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay.
24	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
25	BY MR. LAMBERT: I have lived in Forest

Township for over 45 years with my wife, Marlys, who was born and raised here. We raised our family here and have enjoyed the many benefits of living in a rural communities: being able to look out my window and see numerous wildlife and the peace and serenity of living in the country.

During this time, I have observed many new families move into the area and build new homes to enjoy the same benefits. All of a sudden, because of the actions of our previous town board and the actions of the host families, we have the possibility of looking at 41 500-foot-tall wind generating turbines. I'm sure this is not what the people who have moved here had envisioned.

Before our town board went ahead and signed any papers with Emerging Energies/Highland Wind Farm, LLC, they should have looked into the matter more thoroughly. Letters should have been sent to the residents of the township informing them of what was going on. Something of this nature which will affect the future of the township for years to come cannot simply be decided by three board members. Not all issues can be decided by the almighty dollar.

If Emerging Energies/Highland Wind Farm,



1	LLC, were to get approval for this project, we will
2	no longer see new families move into the area, the
3	growth of this township will cease; and, in fact, it
4	will probably decline if the people are even able to
5	sell their homes and move elsewhere. This alone
6	will not be an easy task once the wind turbines are
7	in and operating.
8	My feelings and the feelings of the
9	majority of the residents of this township are that
10	these huge turbines do not belong in a residential
11	and agricultural area. They should be out in wide
12	open spaces where the effect on individual homes and
13	their pursuit of happiness as stated in the
14	Constitution will not be affected. And I want to
15	thank you for your consideration in this matter.
16	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Thanks very much.
17	(Witness excused.)
18	(Discussion off the record.)
19	EXAMINER NEWMARK: We have Gloria Hogan,
20	Logan? Looks like L O G A N. Here she is. Okay.
21	Great.
22	GLORIA LOGAN, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
23	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Please spell your name
24	for the record.
25	MS. LOGAN: L O G A N.

1	EXAMINER NEWMARK: All right.
2	MS. LOGAN: Gloria Logan.
3	EXAMINER NEWMARK: And you're at 2880
4	County Road Q in Clear Lake?
5	MS. LOGAN: That's correct.
6	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Any particular site
7	location or property you're concerned about?
8	MS. LOGAN: We have turbine number 5 and
9	three other turbines that I will address in my
10	comment.
11	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Go ahead.
12	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
13	BY MS. LOGAN: Go ahead? My name is
14	Gloria Logan. My address is 2880 County Road Q,
15	Clear Lake, Wisconsin. My husband and I have owned
16	and lived at this address for over 46 years. My
17	husband, Dale, has lived in Forest Township all his
18	life. We chose this area because of the peace and
19	quiet of rural life, availability of good schools,
20	closeness to family, convenient commute to the Twin
21	Cities for employment, and good neighbors.
22	We grew up associating with many of the
23	Forest residents. We consider this a good and safe
24	place to raise our children. Now, in our retirement
25	years, which should be a time of relaxation for us,

2.2

we are faced with 41 almost-500-feet-tall industrial commercial wind turbines. The proposed number 5 turbine is 1,495 feet southeast of our house. That large machine can throw ice a distance further than that. If it starts on fire, we are within the danger zone. Three more turbines are proposed within one mile of our residence.

Emerging Energies also proposes to run the underground transmission cable in the ditch past our house. Shadow flicker can cover our entire property. We are on the list of residences with predicted values of greater than 45 dBA noise level. What is the dollar value of our house? Unsalable.

In my opinion, this project was improperly handled from the start. Informational letters should have been mailed to all town residents and landowners before the project started. Public informational meetings should have been held to include all residents and landowners. A special referendum vote should have been held so the citizens of Town of Forest could decide whether or not they lived (sic) an industrial commercial wind turbine facility. Invitations to informational meetings were only after the agreements had been signed.

1	I do not want to see our township littered
2	with broken-down turbines in years to come. It is
3	my opinion that PSC approval of this project would
4	be disastrous to the Township of Forest. The social
5	environment in the Township of Forest is sad, very
6	sad.
7	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Thank you.
8	MS. LOGAN: Thank you very much.
9	(Witness excused.)
10	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Elden Setter, S E T T-
11	ER. Am I getting that right? I'll put him aside
12	for right now. Let's go off the record for a
13	minute.
14	(Discussion off the record.)
15	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Back on.
16	JAIME JUNKER, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
17	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Mr. Junker, go ahead.
18	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
19	MR. JUNKER: So these are my opinions.
20	The applicant increased the size of the project by a
21	couple of turbines in order to complete their
22	application and come under the jurisdiction of the
23	state PSC rather than the Town of Forest. If the
24	Shirley Wind project, which was designed by the
25	applicant, has three families leaving their home,

could it be possible that a project with 41 turbines designed by the same company could have proportionately 15 families abandoning their home -- homes rather? If one of these important coefficients that we've learned about over the last few days used for modeling is off a little bit, could that be 20 or 30 families will need to leave their homes?

It seems there is more information than not that this project has a real possibility to become a man-made disaster. Next week, the

not that this project has a real possibility to become a man-made disaster. Next week, the applicant is going to be allowed to show what a redesign to 40 dBAs will do to the project. And respectfully, you know, have we figured out whether that's going to be used as a ground coefficient of zero, which we learned the last few days was meaningful, versus a ground coefficient of 5 when they do this hypothetical to redesigning at 40 dBA? I think that's very important.

My opinions are how will the town be able to have our experts relook at a redesign and pay our experts to do so? The --

MR. WILSON: Your Honor, I'm going to have to object.

MR. JUNKER: It's my opinion and I'm a



1	resident.
2	EXAMINER NEWMARK: If you can just move on
3	to the project generally and not specifics about the
4	case. I think that will probably be helpful to
5	avoid a complication.
6	MR. JUNKER: Okay. All documents to the
7	docket are important because this is the first time
8	I am aware of that a local government has renounced
9	a PSC application for a wind farm. So it's
10	extremely important that the docket that the
11	findings of fact going to the Commission really
12	write up the town's position because it shows the
13	history of the project.
14	Ultimately, I believe any redesigned
15	project really wasn't the intention of Highland; and
16	that whole process is not giving the community the
17	resources as this redesign is put forward at 40 dBA
18	to basically put up the arguments for it.
19	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. And you'll have
20	a chance to address that when that's filed. The
21	town will have a chance to address all of that.
22	MR. JUNKER: Okay.
23	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Just so you know that.
24	MR. JUNKER: All right. Thank you.
25	EXAMINER NEWMARK: All right. Thanks.

i	
1	(Witness excused.)
2	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. Rick
3	Steinberger.
4	RICK STEINBERGER, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
5	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Please spell your name
6	for the record.
7	MR. STEINBERGER: It's Rick Steinberger.
8	Steinberger is S T E I N B E R G E R.
9	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. I have you at
10	1969 County Road P in Glenwood?
11	MR. STEINBERGER: That's correct.
12	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Is there any other
13	properties or particular turbine location you're
14	concerned about?
15	MR. STEINBERGER: The closest one I have
16	is number 49; and the setbacks actually, the
17	1,250 foot infringes on my properties. So that's a
18	concern of mine.
19	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
20	BY MR. STEINBERGER: But I didn't come
21	near as prepared as I wanted to today because in the
22	last three weeks I've been dealing with issues such
23	as asbestos, mercury, over in the State of
24	Minnesota. 30, 40, 50 years ago, asbestos,
25	mercury remember playing with it in science

class? Asbestos was the next big thing for insulation?

Well, right now we're dealing with mercury in nanograms. There's one billion nanograms in a gram. There's 454 grams in a pound. And we used to play with this stuff in school, in science class.

Asbestos, they measure that in microns. I mean, you can't see microns. What you can't see can hurt you.

And I've been dealing with this stuff for three weeks, leaving the house at 3:30 in the morning, getting home at 9, 10 at night; and this to me is what this township is getting into right now. I was actually kind of on the -- little bit of the green energy side before I actually got on the town board, which I'm now on. And I've been looking for 15 years to put up a personal wind turbine in my yard to try to help with my electricity consumption. You can't make them pay for themselves.

So I've been looking at all this stuff.

And then when I heard about the size of these, I started researching and I started hearing and it's, like, these things can't be that bad for you. You know, with all the health impacts that they're saying. Well, I was appointed to the plan commission and I started doing my research; and I

researched the far left, I researched the far right.

And you can pretty much form an opinion on both

sides. You can -- I tried to stay in the middle a

little bit.

And then reading about the Shirley project, okay, I can't make that a condition on the Shirley project 'til I go out there myself. So I did. I took a trip out there. I got my wife and my three daughters with me, we're driving around looking at it. I spent about 20 minutes out in front of one of them looking around. And I'm not telling the kids what we're up to. And my one daughter tells me, hey, Dad, can we get out of here, I got a really bad headache. I had a headache, but I didn't want to say nothing. And I'm just thinking it's just a headache. Until I left, 30 miles down the road, my headache disappeared, my daughter's disappeared. I never said a word to them about it.

So that got me really thinking and doing the research on this. And to me, we have the potential because what we can't see can harm.

I'm -- like I said, I'm dealing with it at work.

You know, and the noise. I don't understand how we can look at the different noise levels when the World Health Organizations are

talking between 30 and 35 dBA for a good night sleep, and we're allowed 45. Some people sleep during the daytime where it's 55. I don't understand how we can look at this. It's incredible. I mention safety again. Land. It's page 52 or 58, I can't quite recall, of the Nordex N100 manuals. It says in case of a fire evacuate 1,500 feet. Okay. So now you can put these things 250 feet away from a home where in the case of a fire now the homeowners have to evacuate? I don't see that as being right here.

You know, and then you look at efficiencies and clean and green. What people don't understand about clean and green on these things also is there's a product that's called rare earth minerals that's required in the south for the generators. These rare earth minerals are environmentally -- how should I put this -- it's not a real environmental situation to mine them. It's a hard rock mining process. So primarily they're mined in China. A year ago I read an article from China about this great big environmental disaster spill because of rare earth minerals going into the clean green energy.

I read an article last week from Denmark

with all the turbine blades that they're having problems with. Their landfills are filling up because of the overused turbines. Well, that same scenario is going to come to the United States, come to the State of Wisconsin. Granted, technology is getting better. You know, so if we're going to stick government money into these, let's stick it into the research, not stick it into places where we're going to have -- I heard it in the past -- a guinea pig situation.

And, you know, going through all this stuff, I'm looking; and in the application, I look at some portions of it, you know, where the town doesn't have any access permits to this, you know, the town doesn't have road agreements with this. I mean, so there is a lot in the application that the Town of Forest is not totally agreeing with either.

Once again, back to the research on the wind energy. I was astounded how much material is out there. And as I'm going through this, it just got into my head here about a month ago when we started talking about health issues that I'm thinking about, well, this is my own family. I have a wife that has an irregular heartbeat, I have a daughter with asthma, and I have another daughter

1 who gets dizzy and has severe headaches quite 2 frequently. Me, myself, I just feel like I'm getting old. 3 But it's out there. And I was trying to 4 look at all this stuff trying to help write a wind 5 ordinance when I'm not even thinking about my own 6 family. I'm thinking of the overall protection of 7 the town. And just looking at that, you know, I've 8 9 been in the town for 21 years, my family is predominantly from the Town of Forest, my dad grew 10 11 up here, my aunt and uncle live on the home farm 12 that's in the Town of Forest. And it's just -- it's 13 hard to believe we're in a situation like this. So if the Public Service Commission is 14 15 going to err, err on the safe side. Don't make an 16 error that we're going to go down the road and end 17 up being like mercury, asbestos and the tobacco 18 industry. And just on a final thought, the certificate for public convenience and necessity. 19 Define convenience, define necessity. Neither one 20 21 of those two words fit this project in the Town of 22 Forest. Thank you. 23 EXAMINER NEWMARK: Thank you. 24 (Witness excused.) 25 EXAMINER NEWMARK: Laverne Hoitomt, H O I-

1	TOMT.
2	MR. HOITOMT: My compliments. You
3	pronounced it quite well.
4	EXAMINER NEWMARK: All right.
5	LAVERNE HOITOMT, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
6	EXAMINER NEWMARK: I'll give you a shot at
7	
	your name then.
8	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
9	BY MR. HOITOMT: My name is Laverne
10	Hoitomt. I'm somewhat over the 70-year mark. I've
11	been in this township for the better part of most of
12	my life and still a resident here.
13	And I am not a concerned as much about
14	the presence of the windmills because my neighbor's
15	got a couple of them, they are across the road for
16	me, but the highway will probably restrict them from
17	my presence. Obviously there is many others that
18	have got a concern.
19	And some of my concerns are with the
20	safety of the proposed collector routing of the
21	collector circuits that go through the township
22	ditches. There are going to be obviously issues
23	over the next 30 years, there may be signage that
24	broke off, culverts that collapse, drainage that has
25	to be repaired. And if there's roads that are

traffic is interrupted, how do we go about fixing them? Do we shut the power down, do we risk the life of individuals that are working out there?

Obviously there are ways of locating. They have electronic locating devices, but they're accurate to a degree. Most of us would not probably trust our life on them.

So you wind up having to have to hand locate to obviously get to where you can expose it. Okay. You're running a shovel and there is 34,000 volts down in that ditch. I think that gets a little bit nerve-racking for the person that's working on it.

I worked for the telecommunications industry for 40 years. I am well aware of signage is mediocre at best, records are not always accurate. There's a potential for things to get, shall we say, for somebody's life to be in danger or certainly be injured.

And I'm also worried about the electrical induction or AC induction that is coming off of the static device that's on the horizontal access wind turbine. This device is designed to strip the static buildup off of the shaft so that it doesn't destroy the bearings. At least that's how it's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

advertised in their literature. They can take up -reduce up to 3,000 volts of static energy. obviously has to go into some ground field. assuming the ground field will probably be also tied in with the collector circuit grounding. I may be wrong, I'm not sure. I haven't seen their design on But if this is traveling in the ground, most of us who have done any work with the electrical, we know that electricity will usually follow the path of least resistance. If it follows the path of least resistance and some of these collector circuits are within a couple hundred feet or a hundred feet from somebody's home and there is buried cable in the ground going to their telephone and that ground that that telephone cable has got seems to provide a better grounding path than what may be out there in the field, at that present time, it may just well follow down that there ground shield that's around the telephone cable. know, I don't think anybody wants that.

We've got farmers out here that are trying to, shall we say, stay in business with dairy operations. The term "stray voltage" is well known amongst farmers in any operational size. The mitigation for stray voltage gets very costly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Somebody has to absorb the cost of it. And if you don't, you've got cattle that probably get pretty jumpy every time they stick their nose in a drinking cup or go over to a water tank. It's a very strong potential cows will reduce their milk output because of lack of drinking and they soon will also -- possibility of aborting, and they probably won't come back into estrus the way they should for breeding purposes.

And little things like that, it just seems like a -- something that needs to be addressed, you know, so that this scenario doesn't happen in our township. And communications is another thing that is involved with these here collector circuits. look at our communications. I have had personal contact with a telephone utility in southern Minnesota, and they talked about the Lake Benton, Minnesota area, the trouble they had out there with noise -- trying to mitigate the noise problem that developed. And I'm going to quote what they said, "The magnetic fields coupled to the telephone circuits and the longitudinal currents are induced into the cable pairs. Some of this current is converted to telephone circuit noise, noise that is extremely difficult to mitigate." And I discussed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with the individual that was the expert involved in helping this company mitigate this. He said that it basically got down to one fix, and that was they had to provide fiber optic to the premise to all the customers in the area.

Well, this area up in here that is served by Clear Lake Telephone and CenturyLink, the only fiber optic that's in the ground goes to a few of their fair game devices and from them it goes copper to the customer. Like I said, I've worked in this industry for 40 years and I'm well aware of the potential for this to happen. And it's -- they said it's a proven fact, they said that anytime these parallel -- these collector circuits parallel to telephone cables, they wind up with the induction if it's over a half a mile. Short runs, probably not a problem. Many other areas, if they go perpendicular to the telephone cables, they haven't run into an But they said if you parallel them and it's over a half mile and if you look at the -- well, it's on the PSC website. There is a very descriptive drawing showing these collector circuits paralleling many of these telephone cables that are buried in the ditch.

The telephone utilities had the



right-of-way previous. They followed all the rules that the state mandated to bury these. I don't know what they're going to do if they have to come back after this thing is up and running. This could be an issue, you know; we've got all this voltage in the ground, now how do they go about burying it? And they didn't explain how they covered the cost of it out in Lake Benton, they said that was a sealed document, that I have no idea who covers the cost. I feel that it should not be thrust upon the ratepayers in the country here because of the fact that the company has to provide all of this here new facility.

and cell phones aren't going to be a usable commodity because an awful large part of this geographic area in this community doesn't bode well for cell service. You've got too many hills and obstacles in the way, unless they put up a whole lot more towers, there is not going to be good cell service. And I don't think that's fair to the people here to wipe out their ability to call 911, call the sheriff's department, for whatever they need and have absolutely no telephone service? I think that's a -- would be a pretty costly thing to shove down their throat.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I guess last, but not least, talking about communication. Exhibit 10 of the Town of Forest testimony brings to light some very disturbing evidence as far as I'm concerned regarding communication or lack of between Highland Wind/Emerging Energies and the previous town board. It appears in my mind that there was very little design information available to the town board before the August 12th, 2010 agreement. It was not presented to the plan commission adequately for them to decide before that. Multiple e-mails were exchanged between the attorney for the Town of Forest and the attorney for Highland Wind discussing the fact that there were no plans to review, and some members of the town were -- there was one member of the town board was very uncomfortable yet. Their communications indicated that a conflict of interest was real and of concern.

It appears to me that our previous town board was not totally forthright with all of the citizens of the Forest Township. The town's legal guidance certainly appears to have aided in the deception.

I'll conclude that if projects of this nature are so good for the area and everyone living



1	around it, why is there so much secrecy and
2	deception involved? How can anyone trust this
3	company to be a good neighbor and look out for the
4	welfare of our community? I say this project should
5	be denied. Thank you for your time.
6	(Witness excused.)
7	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Let's get off the
8	record for a second.
9	(Discussion off the record.)
10	(Recess taken from 4:20 to 4:30 p.m.)
11	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Next person I have on
12	the list is Tim Lienau.
13	TIM LIENAU, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
14	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Spell your name for us.
15	MR. LIENAU: Lienau, L I E N A U, first
16	name Tim.
17	EXAMINER NEWMARK: All right. And you're
18	at North 1301 County Road Y, Menomonie?
19	MR. LIENAU: Yeah, southern Dunn County.
20	EXAMINER NEWMARK: All right. Any
21	property that's affecting you or turbine location
22	you're concerned about?
23	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
24	BY MR. LIENAU: I have no connection to
25	Forest, Emerald or anyplace up in this neck of the



woods. I'm not connected to the industry in any way, shape or form. I am, as you can see, pro alternative energy; and I felt that I needed to drive the 50 miles up here to make my peace. And I normally don't do this and I'm a bit nervous in regards to that, so please bear with me.

I really think that when we all wake up in the morning and hit the alarm clock and turn on the light, we have a shared responsibility. And that shared responsibility is either -- for being able to have a business and work and communicate and being able to have what we want, what we need, in our society; and that happens to be -- come with cost. And sometimes that cost is fairly high. And I think the folks that have a car and you put gas in it, the responsibility is that we have to get the oil, we have to pay the price to get the oil, we have to pay the military to protect the oil and the routes to get it here. And that cost is a shared responsibility that we all have.

And the thing of it is, is that if a wind farm in Forest, in Oklahoma, in Texas, in southern Minnesota, if that will help us not have 200,000 men in the Arabian Sea, not to have 50,000 men at Walter Reed getting bits and pieces put back together



again, if that happens to be, you know, not ripping the top off mountains in Appalachia or ripping the tops of mountains off in Wyoming, well, you know, maybe we gotta be looking at some of this stuff, folks. I mean, we have a shared responsibility because we all reach over and hit that switch. And we hit it without even thinking, not even wondering, we just hit that switch.

And the thing of it is, is that this part of the mix -- coal's part of the mix, nuclear is part of the mix. But my God, nuclear, are you guys kidding me? We gotta watch it for 10,000 years, 10,000 years. Come on. I don't know. All I could tell you is that granted there may be some issues with how this was brought up and how it was sorted out, I think there's things that probably could have been done better or different, you know. There is no question about it.

I don't know, I travel a little bit, I travel down in Indiana, Illinois and seen these big wind farms and, you know, just kind of in passing with folks, stopping at the rest area, gas station, I said, hey, you know, what's it like running around with these things? They go, a little rough at first, you get used to it. Just like railroad, just

1	like big industrial stuff. You know, sooner or
2	later you hear it and sooner or later you don't hear
3	it because it's just kind of the background noise.
4	It's just like when the interstate went
5	through. Boy, I'll tell you, people were whining
6	and crying about that, you know, 70 decibels, 80
7	decibels, it's a lot of noise. But sooner or later
8	it just is a background drone.
9	Is there a health effect? I don't know.
10	You know, you got something to say about it. And I
11	think that's something that needs to be addressed
12	and I think over time, these technical issues will
13	be dealt with, at least I hope they will, okay? And
14	I think it's something that needs to be addressed.
15	But I still think, folks, we have a shared
16	responsibility because if you're going to reach that
17	switch and hit 'er in the morning, it's got to come
18	from someplace. It's got to come from someplace.
19	Thank you for your time.
20	EXAMINER NEWMARK: All right.
21	MR. LIENAU: But I am in support of it. I
22	think we gotta move there.
23	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. Well, thank you,
24	sir.
25	MR. LIENAU: You bet.

1	(Witness excused.)
2	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Mark Tellijohn.
3	MARK TELLIJOHN, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
4	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Spell your name for us.
5	MR. TELLIJOHN: Mark T E L L I J O H N.
6	EXAMINER NEWMARK: You're at 2030 280th
7	Street in Emerald?
8	MR. TELLIJOHN: Yes.
9	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Any other property or
10	tower location you're concerned about?
11	MR. TELLIJOHN: No. I'm not concerned.
12	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay.
13	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
14	BY MR. TELLIJOHN: My name is Mark
15	Tellijohn. I've lived in the Township of Forest my
16	entire life, 57 years. I own and operate a third
17	generation dairy farm with 400 head of dairy cattle.
18	I produce crop for feed and cash crop from
19	approximately 1,300 acres, all of the land which is
20	in the Town of Forest. I farm with my son and
21	nephew assist me in running the farm along with
22	other part-time and full-time employees.
23	My father grew up in the Township of
24	Forest and farmed his entire adult life here; and
25	through all those years of farming we've created

employment and formed a strong friendship with many residents of the township. My son and I were approached in the spring of 2008 by Emerging Energies, after attending a couple of informational meetings at the Forest Town Hall, they -- at Forest Town Hall. At the meetings, they discussed the wind turbines, the contracts, the size of the turbines, which is going to be approximately 2, 2.5 megawatts; the height of them were going to be approximately the height of the telephone tower out here which was 485, 485 feet. They explained their goals and provided us with contracts to review.

I began thoroughly researching the company and began to learn more about wind turbines. I hired my personal lawyer and took the information and contract to him so he could assist in the research of it. I also brought the information to my bank, AgStar, to their legal department, and they deal extensively in wind turbine projects out in Minnesota. I questioned AgStar about any knowledge they had on the company, you know, the fair rates of reimbursements for this type of a contract. They informed me that the company was reputable, the reimbursement was very fair, and that the contract was a standard type, boilerplated contract,

standardized to the industry. It sounded like a very positive program for a farm. My lawyer also provided the same positive information to me related to the program and the company.

After months of thought and research, my family and I began to believe the positive aspects of the wind turbine project for the Town of Forest far outweighed the negatives of the project. We believe the tax relief it will bring to the Forest Township and St. Croix County will benefit to all taxpayers. We also believe in the employment opportunities and money spent with the construction and fuel and lodging will be a positive benefit for all the area communities and business owners.

We also see many of our neighboring farmers struggling to keep their farms profitable. For most self-employed farmers, rising health costs, fuel, feed, repairs, leave very little money left for retirement plans. A majority of the farmers in the township are in their 50s or older. The turbine project may be a way for them to remain on their land for a longer period of time and to be able to have a little bit of a retirement, or maybe cash crop their farm and be able to afford their health insurance instead of leaving and selling their farms

1	in piece and bits and pieces for housing.
2	We also believe that wind turbines are
3	good for our nation, not just the Township of
4	Forest. Wind energy is clean and renewable and an
5	important way to provide for our nation's increasing
6	need for electrical energy. Wind energy as a
7	combination with other sources, as solar, natural
8	gas and coal, will decrease our dependence on other
9	nations and oil companies for their petroleum
10	products.
11	And in closing, I'd like to please keep
12	in this mind. People in society throughout history
13	are frightened of change. But to me, more
14	frightening is no change. Please let this project
15	be built in our township.
16	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Thanks.
17	(Witness excused.)
18	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. Now Lee
19	Tellijohn.
20	LEE TELLIJOHN, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
21	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Spell your name for us.
22	MR. L. TELLIJOHN: Lee, L E E, Tellijohn,
23	TELLIJOHN.
24	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. It's 2034 280th
25	Street in Emerald?

1	MR. L. TELLIJOHN: Yep.
2	EXAMINER NEWMARK: And any other property
3	or location you're concerned about?
4	MR. L. TELLIJOHN: I'm a landowner, a
5	host.
6	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. Just speak up a
7	little so she can hear you.
8	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
9	MR. L. TELLIJOHN: I'm Lee Tellijohn. As
10	a resident of Forest, I'm a landowner of a proposed
11	wind turbine. I am in favor of this project because
12	I think it is a safe renewable energy source that
13	will diversify our energy resources. I have a
14	problem with the way our electrical system is set
15	up. It is based on having a small number of very
16	large electrical plants.
17	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Sir, can I ask you to
18	slow down. Thanks.
19	MR. L. TELLIJOHN: All right. I think
20	this is a bad idea for reliability and the national
21	security. So I am opposed that we have a larger
22	number of smaller power plants. Wind turbines will
23	make a step in the right direction.
24	I hear a lot of people in the room talk
25	about let's just burn coal, natural gas or nuclear.

So let's take a look at these sources. Natural gas for one makes me smile. To get natural gas you have to frac. To frac, you need to have sand. Where is the sand? The sand is here. These are the same people when a proposed sand mine was proposed in Glenwood City, they were the first people to run down to help the City of Glenwood City stop the proposed sand mines because it pollutes the groundwater and the silica dust will kill us. So I guess we cannot burn natural gas.

So let's go with coal. We all know coal is much cleaner and a much safer mining practice. So safe as I propose that we open a coal mine in the Town of Forest if coal was here. I'm sure everyone in the room would be jumping for joy if we could open a coal mine here in the Town of Forest, so long as it's not in somebody else's backyard. It's not -- a great idea as long as it's not (sic) in somebody else's backyard.

So let's go for nuclear. All right. I will donate the land for the -- that I own in the Town of Forest to dispose of the nuclear waste. I'm sure no one in the room will have a problem with it. It is a great idea to use nuclear as long as it is in somebody else's backyard.

1	Please support this wind project.
2	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Thank you, sir.
3	(Witness excused.)
4	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Doris Schmidt.
5	DORIS SCHMIDT, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
6	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Spell your last name
7	for us.
8	MS. SCHMIDT: Schmidt, S C H M I D T.
9	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. I have 2168
10	County Road P in Clear Lake.
11	MS. SCHMIDT: That is correct.
12	EXAMINER NEWMARK: All right. And any
13	other property or turbine location you want to point
14	out?
15	MS. SCHMIDT: I have five that are going
16	to be near my property.
17	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. Your location of
18	your
19	MS. SCHMIDT: I'm on County Road P.
20	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay.
21	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
22	BY MS. SCHMIDT: Okay. I have lived in
23	Forest Township most of my life. My husband and I
24	own a 167-acre farm in Forest Township. We never
25	we were never once notified by Highland Wind or our

former town board that there was an industrial wind farm being proposed in our township prior to the former town board signing agreements with Highland Wind. We've seen no public outreach regarding this project.

I was pretty shocked when a postcard postage paid for by the township came from one of the hosting landowners inviting us to take a bus trip to the Shirley project. Most of the residents in the township knew nothing about the Shirley project or what they were referring to. We later found out that the former town board had entered into an agreement to install 39 500-foot-tall industrial wind turbines all around us. Most of us were in shock.

First of all, our comprehensive plan in our township clearly states no new large scale businesses or industry is desired in the Town of Forest unless it is located in the designated area, in the hamlet of Forest or along State Highway 64. All agreements with Highland Wind/Emerging Energies are rescinded by the Township of Forest. Currently it is my understanding that Highland Wind has no agreement of any kind with the Township of Forest.

Highland Wind increased their project



to -- that they were proposing to 41 500-foot turbines and is wanting the PSC to take all control away from our local officials who were overwhelmingly voted into office in the last elections by the residents of Forest Township. The placement of 41 500-foot-tall industrial wind turbines in our quiet rural community will destroy everything that brought everyone to this community in the first place, by exposing residents to many health problems, shadow flicker, noise annoyances, visual changes, stray voltage issues, devaluation of property and destruction of our township roads.

With all the complaints of health problems coming from people who lived in the last project this developer built, several people telling that they have been driven from their home or sleeping in basements to try to get some sleep, they have told us and it is documented on the PSC dockets that they have had major health problems since the turbines started in their area.

In 2012, the Brown County Board of Health resolution made a formal request for temporary emergency financial relocation assistance for the State of Wisconsin -- from the State of Wisconsin for those Brown County families that are suffering

adverse health effects and undue hardships caused by irresponsible placement of industrial wind turbines around homes and property.

I have an inner ear problem which causes -- I gotta find my place. I have an inner ear problem that causes me extreme difficulty with balance, even walking. I have it under control right now with treatment. With the possibility of five turbines in proximity of where I live, and if they were to install the alternate turbine across the road from my home and I had shadow flicker going over my property inside my home flickering on and off, I would not be able to function. How would I work on my farm and work on my job?

I feel it is so wrong to have blades turning and spreading shadow flicker over neighboring properties. If anyone knows in their heart that they are hurting their neighbor in any way, especially exposing them to health problems, ice throw, noise, taking away their ability to get a good night sleep, devaluating their property, in my mind it's just plain wrong.

Everyone in this township has a right to protect their well-being. You cannot trade that for monetary gain. These industrial machines do not

belong in close proximity to homes. We raise Angus beef cattle on our farm. Cattle are very sensitive to noise and disturbance. I am so worried about all animals and wildlife. They will be terrified with all the noise and shadow flicker. This will affect their health and ability to reproduce.

These animals and all the residents could be exposed to electrical pollution. The killing of our birds, eagles, bats, by these giant blades is not accepted by the residents of Forest Township.

They eat the insects keeping our families -- our fields from being infested. Each has a purpose in God's plan. Aren't we all their protectors also?

If this project was approved, I am concerned when it comes time to decommission 41 500-foot wind turbines that Highland Wind has not even come close to offering Forest Township enough money to dismantle these. No one in the township wants to be left with a turbine junkyard with blades hanging half off and the look of desolation throughout our township. Our wind ordinance clearly states that if the developer -- okay. Our wind ordinance states that it will be the landowner's responsibility to take them down if the developer does not. It will cost millions of dollars in cost

to hosting landowners, more than their property is worth.

Along with farming, I have been selling real estate in St. Croix County -- or St. Croix, Dunn, Poke and Barron Counties for almost 12 years. My fear is that anyone wanting to sell their property after turbines would be built in the township may have a much harder time to obtain a buyer in an industrial wind area. With so many properties on the market, I fear buyers will not choose to live near 41 500-foot-tall wind turbines with all the noise and shadow flicker and possible health problems.

Financial gain to the developer and hosting landowners should not be at the expense of the neighboring property owners. I think the nonparticipating landowners should be offered some type of property value guaranty by the developer. This should be mandatory for any approval of a project like this.

I want to make it very clear, I support clean energy. I am opposed to irresponsible placement of industrial size wind turbines being placed too close to homes in any small community that places over 600 residents at risk. Please do

1	not approve this project in Forest Township. Thank
2	you very much.
3	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Thank you.
4	(Witness excused.)
5	EXAMINER NEWMARK: David Schmidt. How did
6	you get on the bottom of the pile?
7	MR. SCHMIDT: I don't know.
8	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Sorry about that.
9	DAVID SCHMIDT, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
10	EXAMINER NEWMARK: All right. And please
11	spell your name for the record.
12	MR. SCHMIDT: S C H M I D T.
13	EXAMINER NEWMARK: At 2168 County Road P,
14	Clear Lake?
15	MR. SCHMIDT: Right, you got it.
16	EXAMINER NEWMARK: And any other property
17	that you're concerned about or turbine?
18	MR. SCHMIDT: The same five that she had.
19	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay.
20	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
21	BY MR. SCHMIDT: Well, I lived in Forest
22	Township for over 40 years. At one of our first
23	meetings that we had after we were you know, we
24	found out about this, we were up in our up in the
25	community center up in Clear Lake and one of the

host families stood up and was -- and she asked -- what she asked, she asked the developer what do the neighbors think about putting these turbines up?

And he -- they said all the neighbors were on board with this. And we all know that was clearly a lie.

Because the neighbors did not know. That's why we started this here Forest Voice.

Okay. Highland Wind developers wanting to install 41 of these turbines in the Township of Forest, they also installed eight turbines in the Brown County which is a different project. After the turbines started, many residents came forward with many health and safety issues: headaches, unable to sleep, migraines, anxiety, dizziness, animals dying, possibly due to stray voltage.

The developer had -- the developer had an interview on public radio. And the caller called in and asked them what are they going to do to address these issues? And he said they're no longer involved because they sold the project.

We have been told residents that called a designated number for the compliance, no one answered the calls, to call back. Why would we give this developer a chance to devastate another community? If they had all these health issues with

1	eight turbines, how many residents are going to
2	suffer with 41 turbines in our township? Please do
3	not approve this project in the Forest Township.
4	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. Thanks very
5	much.
6	(Witness excused.)
7	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Janet Monson.
8	JANET MONSON, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
9	EXAMINER NEWMARK: All right. And that's
10	MONSON?
11	MS. MONSON: Correct.
12	EXAMINER NEWMARK: 6414 County Road Q,
13	Clear Lake?
14	MS. MONSON: It's 2614 County Road Q.
15	EXAMINER NEWMARK: And any other
16	properties or turbine locations you want to point
17	out?
18	MS. MONSON: Well, there will be a
19	turbine, probably the closest, a little over a mile
20	away. But my main concern and my husband's is the
21	electrical proposed electrical substation which
22	will be just to our west and also the routing of all
23	the electric will go directly in front of our home.
24	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. Great. Go
25	ahead.

#### DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT

BY MS. MONSON: Okay. My name is Janet Munson. I have been a resident of Forest Township for the past 26 years. We have built our home and raised our family in this beautiful community that we love. I am here today to try to save our homes and our community from being taken over by the wind company -- industrial wind company known as Highland Wind, LLC/Emerging Energies. 41 500-foot high industrial wind turbines do not belong in our 36-square-mile, densely populated, pristine, quiet, rural community.

I have the same concerns as many of the people here today, including health issues, safety, wildlife, property values, quality of life, and much more. But my issue from the very beginning has been the process that led us here in the first place. I always thought that this country was based on democracy. We grew up pledging liberty and justice for all. But where is the justice in all of this? We trusted our elected officials, which have a moral and an ethical obligation to do what is right and fair for each and every citizen of this township.

An issue of this magnitude should have been put to a public vote for all of the residents

1	of Forest. Had we been given that opportunity, I
2	strongly believe we would not be in all of the
3	turmoil that we are facing today.
4	Our community has been torn apart. It's
5	pitted friend against friend, neighbor against
6	neighbor, and family members against one another.
7	This once friendly, caring, down-to-earth township
8	has been torn apart all in the name of big subsidy
9	dollars and greed. If this project moves forward,
10	it will forever change the life that we all know and
11	love. It will not only affect residents of this
12	community, but also the lives of surrounding
13	communities, as well as the hopes and dreams of
14	future generations to come. Our life, liberty and
15	pursuit of happiness will be stripped away in the
16	blink of an eye. Or should I say gone with the
17	wind.
18	I ask you again, where is the justice in
19	any of this? And I'm asking the PSC to please deny
20	this proposal.
21	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Thank you very much.
22	MS. MONSON: You're welcome.
23	(Witness excused.)
24	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. Elden Setter.
25	Not available. Okay. Roxanne Anderson, A N D E R-

1	SON.
2	MS. ANDERSON: Yes.
3	ROXANNE ANDERSON, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
4	EXAMINER NEWMARK: You're at 2916 210th
5	Avenue, Emerald?
6	MS. ANDERSON: Correct.
7	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Any other properties or
8	turbine locations you want to point out?
9	MS. ANDERSON: Well, I have one, it's
10	six-tenths of a mile from me, plus surrounding, all
11	around, every side of me.
12	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay.
13	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
14	BY MS. ANDERSON: My name is Roxie
15	Anderson. I resided in the Town of Forest all my
16	life. I too grew up on a family farm of 114 years,
17	the place we still call home which my mother still
18	lives. I worry, too, what if she is not able to
19	handle the sound and the other effects of the
20	turbines, does she just leave the home she loves
21	because of irresponsible wind siting? Who will buy
22	the family farm except for possibly a hosting
23	landowner, and that will never happen.
24	I have grandchildren that come to my home
25	often, one with mild cerebral palsy. Will they be

affected by the turbines? My husband has recently 1 2 had a heart attack. How could it affect him? don't want to be guinea pigs for another wind 3 4 project. My father recently passed away. He was a 5 very respected man of the township, hard working, 6 caring, and loving man. And he would never go out 7 to harm anyone. Never could he imagine he would 8 have to see the turmoil that this has brought to the 9 neighborhood, the church, and our community of 10 11 Forest in the past few years. He was dreading the 12 thought of Emerging Energies coming into this town 13 he loved and tear up the land. I will not be able to totally put him to rest until I can go to his 14 15 grave and tell him this wind project will not 16 happen. 17 The State of Wisconsin PSC should deny this wind project because of the potential health to 18 the many in the state that it already -- that the 19 people are already suffering. And no one seems to 20 21 care about them. That's it. 22 EXAMINER NEWMARK: Thanks very much. 23 (Witness excused.) 24 EXAMINER NEWMARK: Roger Anderson.

25

just want everyone to know, I have Mr. Anderson and

1	then Mr. Voeltz
2	FROM THE AUDIENCE: Voeltz.
3	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Voeltz, okay.
4	ROGER ANDERSON, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
5	EXAMINER NEWMARK: And so you're at the
6	same address as Roxanne. Any other properties or
7	turbine locations you want to point out?
8	MR. ANDERSON: Same locations my wife just
9	spoke of.
10	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. Go ahead.
11	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
12	BY MR. ANDERSON: Okay. My name is Roger
13	Anderson. I have been in the community 37 years.
14	As my wife said, she's been here 50-some years. My
15	feeling is it should be up to the people that live
16	in the Town of Forest to decide if there should be
17	41 or more 500-foot turbines here, not the PSC who
18	will not have to live by them. How many of the PSC
19	members live within 1,250 feet of a 500-foot
20	turbine? I'm not sure many, if any.
21	The PSC is taking the advice of the Wind
22	Siting Council that is heavily stacked with pro-wind
23	advisors, some of which have financial gains to be
24	made, which is very much a conflict of interest.
25	How many of these hosting families would support

1	this project if it wasn't for the money?
2	As you can probably tell from my comments,
3	we are 100 percent against an industrial wind farm
4	in our small rural town. Thank you.
5	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. Thanks very
6	much.
7	(Witness excused.)
8	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Mr. Voeltz.
9	SCOTT VOELTZ, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
10	EXAMINER NEWMARK: Okay. And that's V O-
11	E L T Z, right?
12	MR. VOELTZ: Yep.
13	EXAMINER NEWMARK: 2670 Highway 64,
14	Emerald?
15	MR. VOELTZ: Yep.
16	EXAMINER NEWMARK: All right. Great. So
17	give us your opinion on the case.
18	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
19	BY MR. VOELTZ: It's a bunch of BS, this
20	whole thing. I mean, I've lived here for the
21	family moved out here in the '70s, and now I raise
22	my own family here. I mean, I tell people it's
23	God's country out here, it's beautiful, you know.
24	Now a select few want to ruin it for everybody.
25	So my main certain is I have an autistic

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

son, and this is like the worse thing for him, you know. Certain sounds, violations, lights, I mean, I can go on and on, I mean, this is going to be very bad for him. And for them to bring this in here, it's going to totally tear up my family's life. I mean, I built a nice place over there, lived there to retire and stay here. And now a select few want to screw it up and they expect me to move? I mean, I don't want to move. I mean, it should not affect the rest of us. You know, you go out west, you see these things. Yeah, they're great out there; but where is the nearest house? It's miles away. It has nothing to do with it. should they come in here and ruin everything for us? You know, I have a lot more to say, I'm trying -- I'm doing my darnedest to keep it clean because I'd really like to go off on it, but --EXAMINER NEWMARK: I appreciate that. MR. VOELTZ: For anybody that knows me, so... But I mean, just, please, this can't go I mean, you heard the people's testimonies through. for their health and stuff. Sure, everybody is different. But like my son, I mean, he can -- you know why your dog howls when there is a siren way I mean, I don't hear it. off? He starts rubbing

his ears, and you know, he can't talk and he's not 1 2 potty trained, he can't tell us if something is bugging him. But he'll still rub his ears and go 3 into a rage, so obviously he can hear that. So what 4 do you think a 500-foot tower is going to affect --5 you know, is going to do to him? That's why this 6 stuff cannot happen. It's got to be stopped. 7 There's so much BS behind the lines to 8 even get to this point, it never should have gotten 9 10 this far. Never. So I just -- I mean, I don't know 11 what else to say. I just hope that the right people 12 are listening to make sure that this stuff does not 13 go through. So... 14 I mean, that's about all. I mean, I can 15 go on and on for a bunch of statistics and stuff; 16 but I'm not in the mood for it. It just can't 17 It's got to stop. So, thank you. happen. 18 EXAMINER NEWMARK: I appreciate your 19 comments. 20 (Witness excused.) 21 EXAMINER NEWMARK: That was the last form 22 Does anyone else want to speak? 23 We will be back at 6. Okay. So you can 24 stick around if you get a chance. But we'll be taking new people who haven't spoken yet. 25 We'll

```
reconvene at 6 p.m. Thanks very much.
 1
                       (The hearing concluded at 5:06 p.m.)
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



1	
1	STATE OF WISCONSIN )
2	MILWAUKEE COUNTY )
3	
4	I, LYNN M. BAYER, RMR, Certificate of Merit
5	Reporter with the firm of Gramann Reporting Company, 710
6	North Plankinton, Suite 710, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, do
7	hereby certify that I reported the foregoing proceedings,
8	and that the same is true and correct in accordance with
9	my original machine shorthand notes.
10	DATED THIS DAY OF, 2012.
11	
12	
13	
14	Lynn M. Bayer
15	Certificate of Merit Reporter
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX	
2	WITNESS EXAMINATION	PAGE
3	KENNETH BARTZ, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	
4	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. BARTZ	743
5	MATTHEW RADINTZ, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	
6	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. RADINTZ	745
7	BRENDA SALSEG, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	
8	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT MS. SALSEG	752
9	TERESA WILSON, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	
10	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. WILSON	758
11	ALLEN WARNER, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	
12	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT MR. WARNER	760
13	ALLEN WIENKE, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	
14	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. WIENKE	761
15	TODD OSTBERG, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	
16	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. OSTBERG	764
17	CARL CRESS, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	
18	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT	772
19	MARILYN BENSON, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	
20	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT MS. BENSON	773
21	DAWN CRESS, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	
22	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. CRESS	776
23	YVONNE FOUKS, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	
24	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. FOUKS	778
25	MARK JACKELEN, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	



_	Totalio C
1	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. JACKELEN 779
2	DOUG HILL, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
3	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. HILL 787
4	THOMAS JOHNSTON, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
5	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. JOHNSTON 790
6	ANNE JOHNSTON, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
7	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. JOHNSTON 792
8	DENNIS EGGERT, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
9	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. EGGERT 795
10	LARRY MCNAMARA, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
11	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT MR. MCNAMARA 796
12	DOUGLAS KARAU, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
13	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. KARAU 799
14	RITA BUHR, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
15	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. BUHR 808
16	CAROL JOHNSON, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
17	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. JOHNSON 810
18	RICHARD LAMBERT, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
19	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. LAMBERT 813
20	GLORIA LOGAN, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
21	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. LOGAN 816
22	JAIME JUNKER, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
23	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT MR. JUNKER 818
24	RICK STEINBERGER, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
25	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. STEINBERGER821



r	
1	LAVERNE HOITOMT, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
2	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT MR. HOITOMT 827
3	TIM LIENAU, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
4	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. LIENAU 834
5	MARK TELLIJOHN, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
6	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. TELLIJOHN 838
7	LEE TELLIJOHN, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
8	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT MR. L. TELLIJOHN 842
9	DORIS SCHMIDT, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
10	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. SCHMIDT 844
11	DAVID SCHMIDT, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
12	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. SCHMIDT 850
13	JANET MONSON, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
14	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. MONSON 853
15	ROXANNE ANDERSON, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
16	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. ANDERSON 855
17	ROGER ANDERSON, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
18	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. ANDERSON 857
19	SCOTT VOELTZ, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
20	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. VOELTZ 858
21	
22	EXHIBITS
23	NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED ADMITTED
24	(NO EXHIBITS MARKED OR ADMITTED)
25	

